## Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

DEANNA RAE PORTER-WEESE-HEFT, Plaintiff,

v.

HELLS ANGELS MOTORCYCLE SAN JOSE CHAPTER,

Defendant.

Case No. 15-cv-03201-BLF

ORDER SETTING DEADLINE FOR PAYMENT OF FILING FEE

On July 10, 2015, Plaintiff filed the complaint in this action along with an application to proceed in forma pauperis. On August 20, 2015, the then-assigned magistrate judge issued an order denying Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis as incomplete. See Order Denying Without Prejudice Plaintiff's Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, ECF 8. The order stated expressly that the denial was without prejudice and it granted Plaintiff fourteen days to file a renewed application to proceed in forma pauperis. Id. Plaintiff did not file a renewed application within the time provided and still has not filed a renewed application.

Plaintiff therefore must pay the filing fee of \$400.00 if she wishes to proceed with this case. If Plaintiff does not pay the filing fee on or before October 27, 2015, the case will be dismissed without prejudice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) (providing for dismissal if plaintiff fails to prosecute action or to comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or a court order); Madden v. Badgett, 122 F.3d 1072 (Table), 1997 WL 556361, at \*1 (9th Cir. Aug. 29, 1997) (affirming dismissal of action under Rule 41(b) where plaintiff failed to pay the filing fee or to submit prison trust account statement); Soto v. Hayes, No.

## United States District Court Northern District of California

C-94-0098-VRW, 1995 WL 150572, at *1 (N.	D. Cal. Mar. 20, 1995) (dismissing action under
Rule 41(b) for failing to pay the filing fee).	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: October 13, 2015	BETH LABSON FREEMAN United States District Judge