

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PAUL HILF,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
MATTHEW GRASMUCK, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [5:15-cv-03833-PSG](#)

**JUDGMENT**

The court has granted Defendant Matthew Grasmuck’s motion to dismiss.<sup>1</sup> Because further amendment would be futile, leave to amend is denied.<sup>2</sup> The court also has dismissed Defendant Arthur Trujillo’s counterclaims.<sup>3</sup> The Clerk shall close the file.

**SO ORDERED.**

Dated: January 11, 2016

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PAUL S. GREWAL  
United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>1</sup> See Docket No. 44.

<sup>2</sup> See *id.* at 5; *Carolina Cas. Ins. Co. v. Team Equip., Inc.*, 741 F.3d 1082, 1086 (9th Cir. 2014) (“A complaint should not be dismissed without leave to amend unless amendment would be futile.” (citing *McQuillion v. Schwarzeneger*, 369 F.3d 1091, 1099 (9th Cir. 2004))).

<sup>3</sup> See Docket No. 45.