# Northern District of California

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

SOKHA KHEK,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff,

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN,

Defendant.

Case No. <u>15-cv-03902-BLF</u>

### ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

To Plaintiff Sokha Khek, you are hereby ORDERED to SHOW CAUSE in writing, on or before January 27, 2016, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to serve Defendant under Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) and/or prosecute this action under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

This case was filed on August 26, 2015, ECF 1, and a summons was issued for Defendant on August 27, 2015, ECF 5. The Court's records do not indicate that Plaintiff has served the summons on Defendant.

The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure do not contemplate an indefinite and indeterminate delay in the service of process. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). In fact, the newly amended Federal Rules of Civil Procedure seek to reduce the delay in the early stages of litigation by reducing the time to serve a defendant from 120 days to 90 days. Id.

Here, Plaintiff has not complied with Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m), which requires defendants to be served within 120 days after the complaint is filed. Accordingly, the Court ORDERS Sokha Khek TO SHOW CAUSE why this case should not be dismissed for failure to serve Defendant and/or prosecute this action. Plaintiff shall submit a written response on or before January 27, 2016. In the alternative, if Plaintiff files proof of service on Defendant on or before January 27, 2016, the

## United States District Court Northern District of California

Court will dissolve this order to show cause. The Court advises Plaintiff that a failure to respond to the order to show by January 27, 2016 will result in the dismissal of this action.

### IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 30, 2015

BETH LABSON FREEMAN United States District Judge