28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11		
12	ROBERT A. NITSCH, et al.,	Case No. 14-CV-04062-LHK
13	Plaintiffs,	ORDER DENYING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO RELATE
14	V.	Re: Dkt. No. 167
15	DREAMWORKS ANIMATION SKG INC., et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17 18	EUGENE F. TOWERS,	
19	Plaintiff,	
20	v.	
21	ROBERT A. IGER, et al.,	
22	Defendants.	
23		
24	On December 2, 2015, Plaintiff Eugene Towers filed an administrative motion to consider	
25	whether his case should be related to <i>Nitsch v. Dreamworks Animation SKG Inc.</i> , No. 14-CV-	
26	04062-LHK (ECF No. 167). As the judge assigned to Nitsch v. Dreamworks Animation SKG Inc.	
27	I find that <i>Towers</i> is not related and therefore deny the motion to relate.	
	1	

United States District Court Northern District of California

The administrative motion was only filed on the *Dreamworks Animation* docket, but a copy of this Order shall be filed in both case dockets.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 11, 2015

Sucy H. Koh

United States District Judge