	ES DISTRICT COURT FRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JO	DSE DIVISION
ELIZABETH HERRERA, Plaintiff, v. KELKRIS ASSOCIATES, INC., et al., Defendants.	Case No. 15-cv-04612-BLF ORDER TERMINATING CREDIT BUREAU SYSTEMS, INC.'S MOTIO TO DISMISS AS MOOT; AND ORDERING PLAINTIFF TO SHOW CAUSE WHY DEFENDANT CREDI' BUREAU SYSTEMS, INC. SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURH TO EFFECT TIMELY SERVICE OF PROCESS [Re: ECF 73]

The motion to dismiss filed by Defendant Credit Bureau Systems, Inc. and set for hearing 17 on July 7, 2016, ECF 73, is TERMINATED AS MOOT in light of Plaintiff's subsequent filing of 18 a first amended complaint, ECF 82.

19 On April 5, 2016, the Court approved a stipulated order submitted by Plaintiff and 20 Defendant Credit Bureau Systems, Inc. which voided service of process against Defendant Credit 21 Bureau Systems, Inc. See Order Approving Stipulation to Void Service of Process, ECF 84. The 22 stipulated order provided that Plaintiff would file a new proof of service showing service of 23 process on Defendant Credit Bureau Systems, Inc. Plaintiff has not done so and the deadline for 24 serving Defendant Credit Bureau Systems, Inc. has expired. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).¹ 25

26

Northern District of California United States District Court

¹ Rule 4(m) was amended, effective December 1, 2015, to shorten the time for service from 120 days to 90 days. This Court applies the 120-day rule that was in effect when Plaintiff filed the 27 complaint on October 6, 2015. See Malibu Media, LLC v. Doe, No. C 15-04443 WHA, 2016 WL 3383759, at *1 n.* (N.D. Cal. June 20, 2016) (applying prior version of Rule 4(m) to complaint 28 filed prior to amendment's effective date).

Accordingly, Plaintiff is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE, in writing and on or before July 11, 2016, why Defendant Credit Bureau Systems, Inc. should not be dismissed for failure to effect timely service of process. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: June 27, 2016 heeman EEMAN United States District Judge