1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
8		
9	IOANNIS KANELLAKOPOULOS,	Case No. 15-cv-04674-BLF
10	Plaintiff,	
11	v.	ORDER RE JOINT STATEMENT REGARDING PROPOSED CHANGES
12	UNIMERICA LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY,	TO THE JUROR QUESTIONNAIRE [Re: ECF 209]
13	Defendant.	[Re. Let 209]
14		
15	The Court has reviewed the parties' Joint Statement Regarding Proposed Changes to the	
16	Juror Questionnaire (ECF 209).	
17	The Court GRANTS Defendant's unopposed request to add proposed question 26, as	
18	MODIFIED by the Court. The Court has modified proposed question 26 by combining it with	
19	proposed question 27. Question 26 shall read:	
20		
21	26. Have you or anyone close to you ever suffered an important loss where you filed	
22	any sort of claim or lawsuit, or wanted to file a claim or lawsuit?	
23	$\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No If Yes, please describe:	
24		
25	Because it has combined Defendant's proposed questions 26 and 27, as set forth above, the	
26	Court DENIES Defendant's request to add proposed question 27 separately.	
27	The Court DENIES Defendant's request to add proposed question 28 on the grounds that it	
28	is unclear and confusing.	

United States District Court Northern District of California The Court DENIES Defendant's request to delete questions 16, 18, 21, 31, and 33 from the questionnaire.<sup>1</sup> Defendant's request is untimely. Although the Court granted Defendant leave to propose 2 or 3 additional questions, the Court did not invite Defendant to propose deletions of questions already approved by the Court without objection by Defendant.

Plaintiff shall add question 26 to the questionnaire, using the modified language set forth above. After Defendant reviews the change and approves it as to form, Plaintiff shall deliver 50 copies of the revised questionnaire to the Court by 12:00 p.m. on Thursday, February 22, 2018.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 20, 2018

heeman

BETH LABSON FREEMAN United States District Judge

<sup>1</sup> These question numbers do not correlate to the original questionnaire submitted by Plaintiff and discussed by the Court at the Final Pretrial Conference, but rather to a revised version of the questionnaire implementing changes the Court directed Plaintiff to make.