

1 R. Joseph Barton (Cal. Bar No. 212340)  
 2 COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS &  
 3 TOLL PLLC  
 4 1100 New York Ave., NW  
 5 Suite 500, East Tower  
 6 Washington, D.C. 20005  
 7 Telephone: (202) 408-4600  
 8 Facsimile: (202) 408-4699  
 9 E-mail: [jbarton@cohenmilstein.com](mailto:jbarton@cohenmilstein.com)

Gregory Y. Porter (*pro hac vice*)  
 Ryan T. Jenny (*pro hac vice*)  
 BAILEY & GLASSER LLP  
 1054 31st Street, NW, Suite 230  
 Washington, D.C. 20007  
 Telephone: (202) 463-2101  
 Facsimile: (202) 463-2103  
 E-mail: [gporter@baileyglasser.com](mailto:gporter@baileyglasser.com)

6 Joseph A. Creitz (Cal. Bar No. 169552)  
 7 CREITZ & SEREBIN LLP  
 8 250 Montgomery Street, Suite 1410  
 9 San Francisco, CA 94104  
 10 Telephone: (415) 466-3090  
 11 Facsimile: (415) 513-4475  
 12 E-mail: [joe@creitzserebin.com](mailto:joe@creitzserebin.com)

11 *Attorneys for Plaintiff and*  
 12 *Interim Class Counsel*

13 Scott P. Cooper (Cal. Bar No. 96905)  
 14 Jennifer L. Jones (Cal. Bar No. 284624)  
 15 PROSKAUER ROSE LLP  
 16 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3200  
 17 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3206  
 18 Telephone: (310) 557-2900  
 19 Facsimile: (310) 557-2193  
 20 E-mail: [scooper@proskauer.com](mailto:scooper@proskauer.com)

John J. Buckley, Jr. (*pro hac vice*)  
 Daniel F. Katz (*pro hac vice*)  
 Vidya Atre Mirmira (*pro hac vice*)  
 Hyung-Jin P. Kim (*pro hac vice*)  
 David S. Kurtzer-Ellenbogen (*pro hac vice*)  
 WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP  
 725 Twelfth Street, N.W.  
 Washington, DC 20005  
 Telephone: (202) 434-5000  
 Facsimile: (202) 434-5029  
 E-mail: [jbuckley@wc.com](mailto:jbuckley@wc.com)

19 *Attorneys for Defendants*

20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 21 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA -  
 22 SAN JOSE DIVISION

23 CHRISTOPHER M. SULYMA,

24 Plaintiff,

25 v.

Case No. 5:15-cv-04977-NC

STIPULATED REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF  
 DEADLINES REGARDING DEFENDANTS'  
 PENDING MOTION TO DISMISS, AND CASE  
 MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE, and ORDER

27  
 28 SULYMA v. INTEL, No. 15-cv-4977-NC  
 STIPULATED REQUEST TO EXTEND DEADLINES  
 And ORDER

1 INTEL CORPORATION INVESTMENT  
POLICY COMMITTEE, *et al.*,

Complaint filed: October 29, 2015

2 Defendants,

3 and

4 INTEL 401(K) SAVINGS PLAN and  
5 INTEL RETIREMENT CONTRIBUTION  
6 PLAN,

7 Nominal Defendants.

8  
9 Whereas Plaintiff's counsel have requested, and Defendants agree, to a two-week  
10 extension of time for Plaintiff to file his Opposition to Defendants' pending Motion to Dismiss  
11 (Dkt. Entry 103); and

12 Whereas Defendants therefore request and Plaintiff agrees, to a two-week extension of  
13 time to file Defendants' Reply memorandum in support of their pending Motion to Dismiss; and

14 Whereas the Hearing on said Motion to Dismiss is currently scheduled for, July 6, 2016,  
15 the same day as the upcoming Case Management Conference, and said Hearing will need to be  
16 continued if the above-referenced extensions are granted,

17 THEREFORE, pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1(b) and 6-2, and for the reasons stated in  
18 the accompanying Declaration of Joseph A. Creitz, Plaintiff and Defendants hereby stipulate to  
19 the following briefing schedule on Defendants' pending motion to dismiss and three-week  
20 continuation of the hearing date on the motion and also the currently scheduled Case  
21 Management Conference.

22 ///

23 ///

1 The existing and new requested dates are as follows:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

<b>Current Date</b>	<b>Proposed Date</b>	<b>Event</b>
06/09/2016	06/23/2016	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss
06/16/2016	07/13/2016	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss
06/29/2016	08/03/2016	Joint Case Management Conference Statement Due
07/06/2016	08/10/2016	Case Management Conference, and Hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss

10 As set forth in the accompanying Declaration of Joseph A. Creitz, good cause exists to  
11 extend the above-noted deadlines. Plaintiff requires additional time to prepare his Opposition to  
12 Defendants' pending Motion to Dismiss, and Defendants will need a corresponding two-week  
13 extension to prepare their reply and to allow time for each of the 21 Defendants to review,  
14 comment on, and consent to the Reply, particularly so close to the Fourth of July weekend. The  
15 current Hearing for the Motion to Dismiss is set for the same date as the Case Management  
16 Conference. Because judicial efficiency will be promoted by the Court having the benefit of full  
17 briefing on the Motion to Dismiss at the Conference, it is respectfully requested that the date of  
18 both the Conference and the Hearing be continued to August 10, 2016 or some other later date  
19 convenient to the Court when counsel also are available.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2016

By: /s/ Joseph A. Creitz

Joseph A. Creitz (Cal. Bar No. 169552)  
CREITZ & SEREBIN LLP  
250 Montgomery Street, Suite 1410  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Telephone: (415) 466-3090  
Facsimile: (415) 513-4475

Attorney for Plaintiff

By: /s/ John J. Buckley, Jr.

John J. Buckley, Jr.  
WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP  
725 Twelfth Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20005  
Telephone: (202) 434-5000  
Facsimile: (202) 434-5029

Attorney for Defendants

**SIGNATURE ATTESTATION**

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that I have obtained the concurrence of all signatories other than myself in the filing of this document.

/s/ Joseph A. Creitz  
Joseph A. Creitz

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 7, 2016

Hon. Nat  
United Sta

