United States District Court Northern District of California

1			
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6			
7	RICHARD N. STRILEY,	Case No. <u>5:15-cv-05741-PSG</u>	
8	Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT	
9	V.		
10	UNITED STATES FEDERAL BUREAU		
11	OF INVESTIGATION, Defendant.		
12			
13	The court has granted Defendant the United States' motion to dismiss. <sup>1</sup> Because further		
14	amendment would be futile, leave to amend is denied. <sup>2</sup> The Clerk shall close the file.		
15	SO ORDERED.		
16	Dated: February 18, 2016		
17	Paul S. GREWAL		
18		United States Magistrate Judge	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	<sup>1</sup> See Docket No. 26.		
26	<sup>2</sup> See id. at 4; Carolina Cas. Ins. Co. v. Team Equip., Inc., 741 F.3d 1082, 1086 (9th Cir. 2014) ("A complaint should not be dismissed without leave to amend unless amendment would be		
27	futile." (citing McQuillion v. Schwarzenneger, 369 F.3d 1091, 1099 (9th Cir. 2004))).		
28	Case No. <u>5:15-cv-05741-PSG</u> JUDGMENT	1	
			Dockets.Justia.com