UNITED STATES D	DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10 SAN JOSE DIVISION	
1	
SIONE S. HOKO,	Case No. 14-CV-01327-LHK
Plaintiff,	Case No. 15-CV-06211-EJD
v.	ORDER RE: CONSIDERATION OF RELATED CASE STATUS
TRANSIT AMERICA SERVICES, INC.,	
Defendant.	
18 On January 14, 2016, District Judge Edward Davila issued an order requesting the	
undersigned judge to consider whether <i>Hoko v. Transit America Services, Inc.</i> , No. 15-CV-6211-	
20 EJD (N.D. Cal.), is related to <i>Hoko v. Transit America Services, Inc.</i> , No. 14-CV-1327-LHK (N.D.	
Cal.). No. 14-CV-01327-LHK, ECF No. 35. The deadline to file a response or statement of	
2 opposition has now passed. <i>See</i> Civil L.R. 3-12(e) (requiring response within four days of order).	
The Court has carefully reviewed these cases, and finds that the cases are not related.	
Specifically, Civil Local Rule 3-12(a) provides that "[a]n action is related to another when	
[t]he actions concern substantially the same transaction or event." Civil L.R. 3-12(a). Here,	
all of the events in <i>Hoko v. Transit America Services, Inc.</i> , No. 15-CV-6211-EJD (N.D. Cal.),	
27	
28 Case No. 14-CV-01327-LHK Case No. 15-CV-06211-EJD ORDER RE: CONSIDERATION OF RELATED CASE STATUS	
	NORTHERN DISTRIC SAN JOSE I SIONE S. HOKO, Plaintiff, v. TRANSIT AMERICA SERVICES, INC., Defendant. On January 14, 2016, District Judge Edwar undersigned judge to consider whether <i>Hoko v. Tra</i> EJD (N.D. Cal.), is related to <i>Hoko v. Transit Ame</i> Cal.). No. 14-CV-01327-LHK, ECF No. 35. The opposition has now passed. <i>See</i> Civil L.R. 3-12(e) The Court has carefully reviewed these cas Specifically, Civil Local Rule 3-12(a) provides tha [t]he actions concern substantially the same tra all of the events in <i>Hoko v. Transit America Service</i> 1 Case No. 14-CV-01327-LHK Case No. 14-CV-01327-LHK

United States District Court Northern District of California

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

United States District Court

1 occurred after the events in Hoko v. Transit America Services, Inc., No. 14-CV-1327-LHK (N.D. 2 Cal.). See No. 15-CV-6211-EJD, ECF No. 1 ¶ 5 ("The discriminatory acts, which are the basis of this suit, occurred between May of 2014 and June of 2015."); No. 14-CV-1327-LHK, No. 28 § 5 3 ("The discriminatory acts, which are the basis of this suit, occurred between July of 2012 and July 4 of 2013."). Moreover, the cases pertain to different charges of employment discrimination, filed at 5 different times, before the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"). See No. 15-6 7 CV-6211-EJD, ECF No. 1 ¶ 7–8 (describing charges brought before EEOC in May and June 8 2015); No. 14-CV-1327-LHK, No. 28 ¶ 7 (describing charge brought before EEOC in December 9 2013).

Accordingly, Hoko v. Transit America Services, Inc., No. 15-CV-6211-EJD (N.D. Cal.),

and Hoko v. Transit America Services, Inc., No. 14-CV-1327-LHK (N.D. Cal.), shall not be

related, and Hoko v. Transit America Services, Inc., No. 15-CV-6211-EJD (N.D. Cal.), shall not be reassigned to the undersigned judge.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 20, 2016

ucy H. Koh

КОН United States District Judge

2 28 Case No. 14-CV-01327-LHK Case No. 15-CV-06211-EJD ORDER RE: CONSIDERATION OF RELATED CASE STATUS