# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 

MANJUNATH JAGANNATHARAO,
Plaintiff,
v.

ETIHAD AIRWAYS,
Defendant.

Defendant Etihad Airways removed this case from state court on January 29, 2016. ${ }^{1}$ On
March 26, 2016, Plaintiff Manjunath Jagannatharao informed this court that he preferred to proceed in state court, where he had obtained a default judgment against Etihad. ${ }^{2}$ On April 5, 2016, the court held its initial case management conference in this matter, but Jagannatharao did not file a case management statement or appear. ${ }^{3}$ The court held another case management conference on May 3, 2016; Etihad informed the court that the state court had vacated the default judgment, while Jagannatharao again failed to appear. ${ }^{4}$ No later than May 24, 2016, Jagannatharao shall show cause why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute.

[^0]United States District Court
Northern District of California

SO ORDERED.
Dated: May 9, 2016


United States Magistrate Judge
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