

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

WASHINGTON NUNES,
Plaintiff,
v.
EQUIFAX, INC., et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [5:16-cv-00547-EJD](#)
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

On June 3, 2016, the court issued an order requiring Plaintiff and Defendant Bank of America, N.A. to meet and confer in order to reach an agreement on an ADR process and file, within 10 days, either (1) the form entitled “Stipulation and (Proposed) Order Selecting ADR Process” if an agreement is reached on one form of ADR, or (2) the form entitled “Notice of Need of ADR Phone Conference.” Dkt. No. 42. As of the date and time this was order was filed, the parties have not complied and the time for compliance has expired.

Accordingly, the parties are ordered to appear before the Honorable Edward J. Davila on **July 7, 2016, at 10:00 a.m.** in Courtroom No. 1, 5th Floor, United States District Court, 280 S. First Street, San Jose, California, 95113, to show cause why the court should not impose any and all appropriate sanctions, including monetary sanctions or dismissal of this action, on the parties, or any of them, for willful disobedience of a court order.

The parties may file a response to this order demonstrating good cause why sanctions

1 should not be imposed on or before **July 1, 2016**. In doing so, however, they must (1) explain
2 their failure to comply with the original deadline to file one of the ADR forms, and (2) must also
3 file one of the forms contemplated by the June 3rd Order.
4

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 Dated: June 29, 2016

7 
8 EDWARD J. DAVILA
United States District Judge

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28