

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

RUBEN GARCIA, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [5:16-cv-01725-EJD](#)

**ORDER RE: PLAINTIFFS’
APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY
RESTRAINING ORDER**

Re: Dkt. No. 2

Because Plaintiffs’ application for a temporary restraining order (“TRO”) does not include a declaration or other document that complies with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(b)(1) for the issuance of injunctive relief without notice, the court orders as follows:

1. Plaintiffs shall immediately, and no later than **12:00 p.m. on April 7, 2016**, serve Defendants with copies of the Complaint, Summons, TRO application, this order, and any other documents filed on the district court docket, and shall file a certificate of service demonstrating that such service has been completed.

2. All parties shall thereafter appear before the undersigned at **10:00 a.m. on April 8, 2016**, for a Status Conference to discuss scheduling matters related to Plaintiffs’ TRO application. The parties are advised that such conference will not be a hearing on the merits of the application.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 6, 2016


EDWARD J. DAVILA
United States District Judge