

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THOMAS HIX,

Plaintiff,

v.

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., et
al.,

Defendants.

Case No. [16-cv-01747 NC](#)**ORDER TO PLAINTIFF TO SHOW
CAUSE WHY HE DID NOT
RESPOND TO DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO DISMISS**

Re: Dkt. No. 31

In this unlawful foreclosure case, Defendants JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and U.S. Bank, N.A. have filed a motion to dismiss Hix's amended complaint. Dkt. No. 27. Hix's response to the motion to dismiss was due by July 15, 2016. *Id.* Hix has not filed a response to the motion.

Defendants ask the Court to deem Hix's failure to respond to be non-opposition to their motion to dismiss. Dkt. No. 31. Hix is ordered to show good cause why he failed to file a response to the motion to dismiss by July 15. If he does not, his failure to respond will be considered non-opposition to the motion to dismiss and his complaint will be dismissed. He must respond to this order by July 22, 2016, in a brief not to exceed 5 pages.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 20, 2016


NATHANAEL M. COUSINS
United States Magistrate JudgeCase No. [16-cv-01747 NC](#)