## Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

## KANOSI CHAKWEVA,

Plaintiff,

v.

EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:16-cv-02231-EJD

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

On April 25, 2016, Plaintiff Kanosi Chakweva ("Plaintiff") filed the Complaint underlying this action. To date, however, the docket does not contain a proof of service or waiver of service for Defendant Experian Information Solutions, Inc., and Experian has not appeared in this action.

Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides in pertinent part:

If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court - on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff - must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period.

The 90-day period for service provided by Rule 4(m) expired on July 24, 2016. Accordingly, the court issues the instant Order to Show Cause why Experian should not be dismissed without prejudice for lack of service. If Plaintiff does not, no later than August 8, 2016, either: (1) file documents to show proof of service of the Summons and Complaint on Experian; or

Case No.: <u>5:16-cv-02231-EJD</u> ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

	_
Ħ	nia
United States District Court	istrict of Califor
; ;	f Calif
Ĕ	) Į
Ĕ.	ctc
es	ă
itat	$\sim$
ر ال	forthern D
ite	the
$\Gamma$	lor
	$\boldsymbol{\angle}$

(2) explain in writing why service has not been accomplished, the court will so order. No hearing will be held on the order to show cause unless otherwise ordered by the court.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 1, 2016



Case No.: <u>5:16-cv-02231-EJD</u> ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE