	Case 5.10-cv-02805-EJD Document 94	Filed 04/04/17 Page 1 01 4	
1	Perry J. Narancic, SBN 206820 LEXANALYTICA, PC		
2	2225 E. Bayshore Road, Suite 200		
3	Palo Alto, ČA 94303 www.lexanalytica.com		
4	pjn@lexnalytica.com Tel: 650-655-2800		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs ZURVAN MAHAMEDI and MAHAMEDI IP		
6	LAW, LLP		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11			
12		CASE NO. 16-cv-2805 EJD	
13	ZURVAN MAHAMEDI and MAHAMEDI IP LAW, LLP,	STIPULATION RE: ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO	
14	Plaintiffs,	SEAL (Dkt. No. 89)	
15	V.	Courtroom 4 Judge Edward J. Davila	
16	WILLIAM PARADICE,		
17	Defendant.		
18			
19			
20	Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 79-5(e), the parties in this case, Plaintiffs Zurvan Mahamedi and		
21	Mahamedi IP Law, LLP (together, the "Plaintiffs"), and Defendant William Paradice		
22	("Paradice") stipulate as follows:		
23	WWW.DD. A G. Di. i. 100 Gil. 1		
24			
25			
26			
27	$\left \begin{array}{c} 90; \end{array} \right $		
28	WHEREAS Paradice's opposition included a motion to file certain documents under seal - 1-		
		ion re: Administrative Motion to Seal (Dkt. No. 89)	
- 1	ı		

Mahamedi v. Paradice Case No. 16-cv-2805 EJD

- specifically: (a) a document referred to by Plaintiffs as the "RTU Email", and attached as Exhibit B to the Declaration of Brian Mitchell (filed under seal at Dkt. No. 90-3), and (b) a second document consisting of an email dated March 6, 2016, and attached as Exhibit C to the Declaration of Brian Mitchell (filed under seal at Dkt. No. 90-4) (together, the "Subject Documents");

WHEREAS the Subject Documents were designated as "confidential" by Plaintiffs;

WHEREAS Plaintiffs originally filed the March 6, 2016 email as an exhibit to the Declaration of Zurvan Mahamedi in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Clarification (at Dkt. No. 87-2) with significant redactions;

WHEREAS the parties have resolved a dispute regarding the appropriate amount of redaction for the March 6, 2016 email;

WHEREAS the parties agree that the redactions set forth in the accompanying Exhibit A strike a compromise between the parties for the purpose of fairly prosecuting and defending the case, while respecting the public interest in transparency;

NOW THEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that the Court seal Dkt. No. 90-4, and approve the redactions set forth in the accompanying Exhibit A as the publicly available version of Dkt. No. 90-4. In the event that the Court is inclined not to so seal the Dkt. No. 90-4, the parties request that the Court allow seven days after such an order to allow the clients that may be affected by such an order to file papers, if any, in support of sealing the Subject Documents.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

- 2-

Case 5:16-cv-02805-EJD Document 94 Filed 04/04/17 Page 3 of 4

1	Dated: April 4, 2017 Respectfully submitted	,	
2	2 LEXANALYTICA, PO	1	
3	3		
4	LEAANALI IICA, PC		
5	5 2225 E. Bayshore Road Palo Alto, CA 94303	I, Suite 200	
6	6 www.lexanalytica.com pjn@lexnalytica.com		
7			
8	8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
9	ZURVAN MAHAMEI IP LAW, LLP	OI and MAHAMEDI	
10			
11			
12	Mitchell + Company 4 Embarcadero Cente	r, Suite 1400	
13	San Francisco, CA 94 Tel: 415-766-3514	4111	
14			
15	Attorney for Defenda WILLIAM PARADIO	nt TE	
16			
17	Attestation		
18	10		
19			
20	_		
21			
22	22		
23	23		
24	24		
25	25		
26	26		
27	27		
28	28		
	- 3- Mahamedi v. Paradice Stipulation re: Administrative M	Iotion to Seal (Dkt. No. 89)	

Case No. 16-cv-2805 EJD

[PROPOSED] ORDER

GOOD CAUSE appearing therefore, and having considered the stipulation of the parties and the Declaration of Zurvan Mahamedi in Support of the Administrative Motion to Seal at Dkt. No. 87, the Administrative Motion to Seal is GRANTED, and Paradice shall file, as the public version of Dkt. No. 90-4, the redacted document filed as Exhibit A to the parties' stipulation.

OR

GOOD CAUSE appearing therefore, and having considered the stipulation of the parties and the Declaration of Zurvan Mahamedi in Support of the Administrative Motion to Seal at Dkt. No. 87, the Administrative Motion to Seal is DENIED. This order shall be stayed for 7 days following entry hereof, to allow the clients that may be affected by this order to file supplemental papers, if any, in support of reconsideration of this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: June 30, 2017

Hon. Edward J. Davila United States District Judge