

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CARLOS FERNANDEZ,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
CAROLYN W. COLVIN,  
Defendant.

Case No. [16-cv-02833-NC](#)

**ORDER FOR STIPULATED  
STATEMENT OF FACTS**

Re: Dkt. No. 5

As set forth in the Procedural Order for Social Security Review Actions (Dkt. No. 5), this matter will be deemed submitted for decision without oral argument based on the parties' motions for summary judgment and/or remand. In connection with their motions, the parties shall file either a joint statement or separate statements of the Administrative Record, summarizing: (1) the procedural history of the case; (2) testimonial evidence from the hearing(s) before the Administrative Law Judge; and (3) all relevant medical evidence of record. Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** the parties to meet and confer within 21 days of service of Defendant's answer for the purpose of determining whether they are able to file a joint statement of the Administrative Record or whether it is necessary for the parties to file separate statements.

//

Case No. [16-cv-02833-NC](#)

1     **A.     Joint Statement of the Administrative Record**

2             If the parties are able to agree on a joint statement of the Administrative Record, the  
3     statement shall be signed by both parties and filed by the same deadline as Plaintiff’s  
4     motion for summary judgment or for remand. Each fact must be set forth in a separately  
5     numbered paragraph and cite to a specific portion of the Administrative Record where the  
6     fact finds support.

7     **B.     Separate Statements of the Administrative Record**

8             If the parties are unable to agree on a joint statement, they shall comply with the  
9     following requirements for separate statements of the Administrative Record.

10            1.     Plaintiff’s Separate Statement of the Administrative Record

11            At the time Plaintiff files the motion for summary judgment or for remand, Plaintiff  
12     must also file a statement, separate from the motion and memorandum of law, setting forth  
13     each fact from the Administrative Record on which Plaintiff relies in support of the  
14     motion. Each fact must be set forth in a separately numbered paragraph and cite to a  
15     specific portion of the Administrative Record where the fact finds support. A failure to  
16     submit a separate statement in this form may constitute grounds for denial of the motion.

17            2.     Defendant’s Separate Statement of the Administrative Record

18            At the time Defendant files the cross-motion for summary judgment or for remand,  
19     Defendant must also file a statement, separate from the motion and memorandum of law,  
20     setting forth: (a) for each paragraph of Plaintiff’s separate statement, a correspondingly  
21     numbered paragraph indicating whether Defendant disputes the statement of fact as set  
22     forth by Plaintiff and, if disputed, a reference to the specific portion of the Administrative  
23     Record supporting Defendant’s position; and (b) any additional facts from the  
24     Administrative Record on which Defendant relies in support of the motion. Each  
25     additional fact must be set forth in a separately numbered paragraph and cite to a specific  
26     portion of the Administrative Record where the fact finds support.

27     //

28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

3. Reply Statement of Facts

If Defendant sets forth additional facts in the cross-motion, Plaintiff shall file a statement, separate from the reply brief, with correspondingly numbered paragraphs indicating whether Plaintiff disputes the statement of fact as set forth by Defendant and, if disputed, a reference to the specific portion of the Administrative Record supporting Plaintiff's position.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: June 29, 2016

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
NATHANAEL M. COUSINS  
United States Magistrate Judge