

In the related Magic Leap cases, attorneys Jack Russo and Christopher Sargent from the Computerlaw Group move to withdraw their representation of Bradski, Kaehler, and Robotics Actual. Counsel argues that an actual conflict between the interests of defendants has arisen, necessitating Computerlaw Group's withdrawal.

20 Under Northern District of California Civil Local Rule 11-5(a), "Counsel may not 21 withdraw from an action until relieved by order of Court after written notice has been 22 given reasonably in advance to the client and to all other parties who have appeared in the 23 case." In addition, Civil Local Rule 11-4(a)(1) requires complaince with the standard of professional conduct required of members of the California State Bar. Under California 24 rules, counsel "shall not withdraw from employment until the [attorney] has taken 25 26 reasonable steps to avoid reasonably foreseeable prejudice to the rights of the client" 27 including giving the client notice and "allowing time for employment of other counsel." 28 California Rule of Professional Conduct 3-700(C)(1)(d). Additionally, as counsel notes, Case No.16-cv-02852-NC

16

17

18

19

Dockets.Justia.com

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case No. 16-cv-02852 NC

courts consider (1) the reasons counsel seeks to withdraw; (2) the possible prejudice that withdrawal may cause to other litigants; (3) the harm that withdrawal might cause to the administration of justice; and (4) the extent to which withdrawal will delay resolution of the case. *Deal v. Countrywide Home Loans*, Case No. 09-cv-01643 SBA, 2010 WL 3702459, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 15, 2010).

Here, the Court has a number of concerns about the motion to withdraw, necessitating further briefing and a continuation of the upcoming hearing dates in both cases. In particular, Computerlaw Group has filed six motions, plus several administrative motions to seal, all of which are currently pending with the Court. In addition, this case has been referred for ongoing settlement discussions with Magistrate Judge Kim. The Court and opposing counsel have spent significant resources and time addressing the motions filed and attempting to resolve this dispute with Computerlaw Group as counsel. Finally, Bradski has filed a notice of settlement with Magic Leap, but signed the settlement in pro se.

Therefore, with the information provided, the Court currently believes that the possibility of prejudice is high, the withdrawal will cause a burden on the administration of justice, and withdrawal will likely delay resolution of this case.

Thus, the Court orders as follows:

- Computerlaw Group must provide a copy of this order to Bradski, Kaehler, and Robotics Actual.
- Computerlaw Group must further identify the basis for withdrawal and address the prejudice to the clients and the administration of justice by November 30, 2016.
- 3. Bradski, Kaehler, and Robotics Actual may respond to the motion to withdraw by December 9, 2016, identifying whether they (1) agree with or oppose the motion and (2) have obtained other counsel or need time to do so.

4. The Court VACATES the November 30, 2016, hearings and status conference.

5. The Court sets a hearing on the motion to withdraw only on December 14, 2016,

2

United States District Court

at 1:00 p.m. in San Jose, Courtroom 7. Computerlaw Group counsel must appear in person. Bradski, Kaehler, and Robotics Actual must also participate, but may do so telephonically. Magic Leap's counsel must also be present, although the Court expects to conduct some of the hearing ex parte.

6. The Court will address the stipulations to dismiss and the pending motions after ruling on the motion to withdraw.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 21, 2016

NATHANAEL M. COUSINS United States Magistrate Judge

Case No. 16-cv-02852 NC