		EJ-130
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number and address	ess):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Amir Gamliel, Bar No. 268121		
Perkins Coie LLP		
1888 Century Park East, Suite 1700		
Los Angeles, CA 90067	210 700 2200	
TELEPHONE NO: 310-788-9900 FAX NO E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): agamliel(a)perkinscoie.com	(Optional): 310-788-3399	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Twitch Interactive, Inc.		
	OF RECORD	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Santa	Clara	
STREET ADDRESS: 280 S. First Street		
MAILING ADDRESS. 280 S. First Street		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Jose, CA 95113	S	
BRANCH NAME: U.S. District Court, Northern	District (San Jose)	
PLAINTIFF: Twitch Interactive, Inc.		
DEFENDANT: Erik Bouchouev et al		
DEFENDANT: Erik Bouchouev et al		
AMENDED ✓ EXECUTION (Money Judgmer	nt)	CASE NUMBER:
	onal Property	16-cv-03404 -BLF
OF Real	Property	10-67-03404 221
SALE		
1. To the Sheriff or Marshal of the County of: $NORT$	HERN DISTRICT OF CA	ALIFORNIA
You are directed to enforce the judgment described bel		
2. To any registered process server: You are authorize		
3. (Name): Twitch Interactive, Inc.		
is the  judgment creditor  assignee of rec	ord whose address is shown	on this form above the court's name.
4. Judgment debtor (name and last known address):		nformation on real or personal property to be
Michael Anjomi		rit of possession or sold under a writ of sale.
twitchshop.com@gmail.com; upitpromo@		n a sister-state judgment.
gmail.com; manjomi@gmail.com		\$ 1,431,063.75
(See attached Order for email service)	12. Costs after judgment (per	
	memo CCP 685,090)	\$ () \$ 1,431,063.75
409 METEORITE CIR, LAS VEGAS, NV 89128-1659	14. Credits	• ^
3165 SAWTELLE BLVD APT 109	15. Subtotal (subtract 14 from	1 101 0 60 55
LOS ANGELES, CA 90066-1419	16. Interest after judgment (pe	er filed affidavit
Additional judgment debtors on next page	CCP 685.050) (not on GC 17. Fee for issuance of writ	0,000,0,000,0,00
5. Judgment entered on (date):	18. <b>Total</b> (add 15, 16, and 17)	
6/19/2019	19. Levying officer:	1) 10 / 10 1
6. Judgment renewed on (dates):	(a) Add daily interest from	
7. Notice of sale under this writ	(at the legal rate on 15 GC 6103.5 fees) of	
a. An has not been requested.	(b) Pay directly to court co	
b. has been requested (see next page).	11 and 17 (GC 6103.5	
8. Joint debtor information on next page.	699.520(i))	\$ 0
[SEAL]		for in items 11-19 are different for each debtor
		stated for each debtor on Attachment 20.
		Susan Y. Soong
Issued on (date): 8/3/	2020   Clerk, by	Surie F. Barrera, Deputy
		E FOR IMPORTANT INFORMATION.
NOTICE TO PERS	O. GERTED, DEL HEATTAU	
The state of the College of the Coll		Page 1 of 2  Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 699.520, 712.010,
Form Approved for Optional Use Judicial Council of California EJ-130 [Rev. January 1, 2006]	RIT OF EXECUTION	Government Code, § 6103.5.  Www.courtinfo.ca.gov

PLAINTIFF: Twitch Interactive, Inc.		CASE NUMBER:	
DEFENDANT: Erik Bouchouev et al		16-cv-03404	
— Items continued from page 1—			
21. Additional judgment debtor (name and last known address	ss):		
Kathy Anjomi twitchshop.com@gmail.com; upitpromo@gmail.com; vegaskathy@yahoo.com (see attached Order)  22. Notice of sale has been requested by (name and address):	3165 SAWTI LOS ANGEI	ni RITE CIR, LAS VEGAS, NV 89128-1659 ELLE BLVD APT 109 LES, CA 90066-1419	
23. Joint debtor was declared bound by the judgment (CCP 98 a. on (date):  b. name and address of joint debtor:	a. on <i>(da</i>	te): and address of joint debtor:	
c. additional costs against certain joint debtors (itemize	L e):		
(2) The Prejudgment Claim of Right to Poss	ed on (date): ession was served in enants, named claimatession was NOT servental value on the corcement of the judgr	onts, and other occupants of the premises.  Interved in compliance with CCP 415.46.  Interved the complaint was filed.  Interved the following on the following	

## NOTICE TO PERSON SERVED

WRIT OF EXECUTION OR SALE. Your rights and duties are indicated on the accompanying Notice of Levy (Form EJ-150). WRIT OF POSSESSION OF PERSONAL PROPERTY. If the levying officer is not able to take custody of the property, the levying officer will make a demand upon you for the property. If custody is not obtained following demand, the judgment may be enforced as a money judgment for the value of the property specified in the judgment or in a supplemental order.
WRIT OF POSSESSION OF REAL PROPERTY. If the premises are not vacated within five days after the date of service on the occupant or, if service is by posting, within five days after service on you, the levying officer will remove the occupants from the real property and place the judgment creditor in possession of the property. Except for a mobile home, personal property remaining on the premises will be sold or otherwise disposed of in accordance with CCP 1174 unless you or the owner of the property pays the judgment creditor the reasonable cost of storage and takes possession of the personal property not later than 15 days after the time the judgment creditor takes possession of the premises.

A Claim of Right to Possession form accompanies this writ (unless the Summons was served in compliance with CCP 415.46).

FJ-130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

TWITCH INTERACTIVE, INC., Plaintiff,

Case No. 16-cv-03404-BLF

v. JUSTIN JOHNSTON, et al., Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO SERVE DEFENDANTS VIA ALTERNATIVE MEANS AND TO EXTEND TIME FOR SERVICE

Plaintiff Twitch Interactive, Inc. ("Twitch") brings this action against Defendants for allegedly providing bot services that artificially inflate broadcaster popularity statistics in the gaming community, in an attempt to qualify for compensation through Twitch's program. Compl. ¶¶ 1-2, ECF 1. Twitch has served one but not the remaining defendants. Before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to serve Defendants Alex Renfrow, Erik Bouchouev, Katherine Anjomi, and Michael Anjomi via alternative means at their email addresses. Mot., ECF 29. Twitch also seeks a 120-day extension of time to serve all the unserved defendants. For reasons stated below, the Court GRANTS Twitch's motion.

### DEFENDANTS ALEX RENFROW, KATHERINE ANJOMI, AND MICHAEL I. **ANJOMI**

Based on the exhibits and declarations attached to Twitch's motion, Twitch has been unsuccessful in its numerous attempts to locate and personally serve Defendants at issue in this motion. For example, for Defendant Renfrow, Twitch reviewed the hosting history of internet domains registered to him and emailed him at numerous email addresses. Mot. 2-3. Twitch also sent the complaint and summons via FTP, and received a confirmation that the FTP notifications were viewed. Id. at 3; Exs. N-P, AA, BB. Twitch further sent an investigator to locate Defendant

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Renfrow, who made multiple inquiries as to his whereabouts in California, to no avail. Id. at 4. As for the Anjomis, Twitch performed the same review and engaged private investigators to physically locate them. Id. at 2-3; 5. Twitch obtained confirmation that the Anjomis had downloaded the files via FTP. Exs. CC, DD. Twitch also received a letter from Fred A. Fenster, stating that his firm had "been retained by Michael and Katherine Anjomi . . . to represent them in connection with the above-entitled matter." Klein Decl. ¶ 18; Ex. HH. However, Mr. Fenster represented that he is not authorized to accept service on the Anjomis' behalf. Mot. 5; Klein Decl. ¶ 18.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(e)(1) provides the applicable authority for serving an individual within a judicial district of the United States:

> Unless federal law provides otherwise, an individual - other than a minor, an incompetent person, or a person whose waiver has been filed – may be served in a judicial district of the United States by: (1) following state law for serving a summons in an action brought in courts of general jurisdiction in the state where the district court is located or where service is made . . . .

To that end, Section 413.30 of the California Code of Civil Procedure states (emphasis added):

> Where no provision is made in this chapter or other law for the service of summons, the court in which the action is pending may direct that summons be served in a manner which is reasonably calculated to give actual notice to the party to be served and that proof of such service be made as prescribed by the court.

In certain circumstances, service by e-mail is permitted under Rule 4(e)(1) and Section 413.30. For example, in *United Health Services, Inc. v. Meyer*, a plaintiff offered evidence that it tried to serve the defendant in a number of ways, including having a process server find the defendant at her address of record as well as her home address, retaining a private investigator to locate and serve the defendant, mailing a copy of the summons and complaint with a notice of acknowledgment of receipt for the defendant to return, and e-mailing the defendant about the pending action on multiple occasions. None of these attempts were fruitful. No. 12-6197, 2013 WL 843698, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 6, 2013). The Court in Meyer thus granted the plaintiff's motion to serve the defendant by e-mail, in light of other evidence that the defendant had used her

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

e-mail address to send thirty-five messages to the plaintiff, including communications concerning their litigation. Id. at \*2.

Likewise in Aevoe Corporation v. Pace, e-mail service was allowed where the plaintiff had made "reasonable" attempts to serve the defendant. No.11-3215, 2011 WL 3904133, at \*2 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 6, 2011). These attempts included the plaintiff calling the defendant's phone numbers, e-mailing the defendant, mailing the complaint to the defendant's known addresses, attempting to personally serve the defendant, and retaining a private investigator to track the defendant down. Id.

Based on the showing made by Twitch, the Court finds that Twitch has made substantial efforts like the plaintiffs in Meyer and Aevoe, in an attempt to personally serve Defendants Renfrow, Katherine Anjomi, and Michael Anjomi. Moreover, like the cases discussed above, the Court finds that in these circumstances, service by e-mail would be "reasonably calculated to give actual notice" to the defendants. See Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 413.30. This is in light of Twitch's multiple emails that were successfully delivered to Defendants' email addresses, as well as the confirmation received by Twitch that the Anjomis had downloaded the documents sent by FTP and that Renfrow had viewed the FTP notifications. Specifically for Defendants Katherine Anjomi and Michael Anjomi, the Court also finds that service on Mr. Fenster is appropriate because Mr. Fenster represents the Anjomis in settlement discussions with Twitch and service on him would be "reasonably calculated to give actual notice" to the Anjomis. Ex. HH.

#### II. DEFENDANT ERIK BOUCHOUEV

Like the other Defendants, Twitch made substantial efforts in attempting to locate and to serve Defendant Bouchouev. Twitch reviewed Defendant Bouchouev's internet domains, emailed Bouchouev multiple times, confirmed that the FTP notification was received, and sent an investigator to make multiple inquiries, including talking to Bouchouev's mother in the Netherlands. Mot. 4-6; Pickor Decl. ¶¶ 7-10; Ex. X. Twitch currently has no lead on Bouchouev's whereabouts. Klein Decl. ¶ 14.

Rule 4(f)(3), governing service in foreign countries, permits service "by other means not prohibited by international agreement, as the court orders." Service under Rule 4(f)(3) requires a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

plaintiff to show that (1) service is not prohibited by international agreement, and (2) the method of service is "reasonably calculated, under all the circumstances, to apprise interested parties of the pendency of the action and afford them an opportunity to present their objections." Rio Properties, Inc. v. Rio Int'l Interlink, 284 F.3d 1007, 1016-17 (9th Cir. 2002); D.Light Design, Inc. v. Boxin Solar Co., No. 13-5988-EMC, 2015 WL 526835, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 6, 2015).

Because no physical address is known, the Hague Convention does not apply and Court is not aware of an applicable international agreement that would prohibit email service. Hague Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents in Civil or Commercial Matters, art. 1, ratified on April 24, 1967, 20 U.S.T. 361 ("This Convention shall not apply where the address of the person to be served with the document is not known"); D.Light Design, 2015 WL 526835, at \*2 (noting that the Hague Convention does not apply because the physical addresses of the defendants are unknown); Goes Int'l, AB v. Dodur Ltd., No. 14-5666, 2015 WL 1743393, at \*3 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 16, 2015) (same).

The Court additionally finds that in these circumstances, service by e-mail would be "reasonably calculated to apprise" Bouchouev of this action. This is based on Twitch's multiple emails that were successfully delivered to Bouchouev's email address, as well as the confirmation received by Twitch that Bouchouev had received the FTP notification.

#### III. **EXTENSION OF TIME**

Twitch also requests an extension of time to serve Defendants Renfrow, the Anjomis, Pelagatti, Sharaffodin, and Bouchouev. Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 4(m) requires a district court to grant an extension of time if good cause is shown and permits the district court to grant such an extension even absent good cause. Mann v. Am. Airlines, 324 F.3d 1088, 1090 n.2 (9th Cir. 2003). A defendant's evasion of service can be "good cause." Wei v. State of Hawaii, 763 F.2d 370, 371 (9th Cir. 1985). In support of "good cause," "[a] plaintiff may also [] show the following: (a) the party to be served personally received actual notice of the lawsuit; (b) the defendant would suffer no prejudice; and (c) plaintiff would be severely prejudiced if his complaint were dismissed. Boudette v. Barnette, 923 F.2d 754, 756 (9th Cir. 1991).

Even if a good cause finding is not necessary, Twitch has demonstrated good cause here.

# Case 5:16-cv-03404-BLF Document 33 Filed 01/19/17 Page 5 of 5

United States District Court Northern District of California Twitch has been diligent in attempting to locate and serve the defendants and a significant amount of time was required for Twitch to perform its investigations. The facts presented to the Court are consistent with potential evasion of service. There is no evidence that Defendants will suffer prejudice if the Court were to grant this extension because the proceeding is still at its early stage. In contrast, dismissing the claims against Defendants would prejudice Twitch.

# IV. ORDER

For the foregoing reasons, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

The motion to serve Defendants Renfrow and Bouchouev the complaint and all future documents in this action via e-mails is GRANTED. Defendant Alex Renfrow shall be served via email at sexygurl1505@gmail.com, blastgram@gmail.com, and sales@famehomies.com. Defendant Erik Bouchouev shall be served via email at noxalis@hotmail.com and twitchviewerbot@gmx.ch.

The motion to serve Defendants Katherine Anjomi and Michael Anjomi the complaint and all future documents in this action via e-mails and via mail service on their counsel is GRANTED. Defendants Michael Anjomi and Katherine Anjomi shall be served via email at twitchshop.com@gmail.com, upitpromo@gmail.com, vegaskathy@yahoo.com, and manjomi@gmail.com; and via their counsel, Fred A. Fenster, Esq., using overnight mail.

The Court also GRANTS Twitch a 120-day extension to serve Defendants Renfrow, the Anjomis, Pelagatti, Sharaffodin, and Bouchouev.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 19, 2017

United States District Judge