

1 HARMEET K. DHILLON (SBN: 207873)
 2 NITTOJ P. SINGH (SBN: 265005)
 3 DHILLON LAW GROUP INC.
 4 177 Post Street, Suite 700
 5 San Francisco, California 94108
 6 Telephone: (415) 433-1700
 7 Facsimile: (415) 520-6593

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff SOAPProjects, Inc.

9 SCOTT G. LAWSON (SBN: 174671)
 10 LISA G. LAWSON (SBN: 169503)
 11 IAN W. FORGIE (SBN: 307721)
 12 PENNINGTON LAWSON LLP
 13 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2400
 14 San Francisco, California 94104
 15 Telephone: (415) 484-4343
 16 Facsimile: (415) 480-6706

17 Attorneys for Defendants Jayaraman Swaminathan and
 18 AppWrap, LLC

19 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 20 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA -**
 21 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

22 SOAPPROJECTS, INC., a California
 23 corporation,

24 Plaintiff,

25 v.

26 JAYARAMAN SWAMINATHAN, an
 27 individual; APPWRAP, LLC, a California
 28 limited liability company; and DOES 1
 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case Number: 16-CV-03982-NC

**JOINT STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL OF
 ACTION WITH PREJUDICE AND COURT
 RETAIN JURISDICTION TO ENFORCE
 SETTLEMENT; ORDER**

1 Plaintiff SOAProjects, Inc. (“SOAProjects”); Defendant Jayaraman Swaminathan
2 (“Swaminathan”), and Defendant AppWrap, LLC (“AppWrap”) (Swaminathan and AppWrap,
3 collectively, “Defendants”), by and through their respective attorneys, file this stipulation pursuant to
4 Rule 41(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and stipulate that:

5 1. SOAProjects and Defendants attended a settlement conference before Magistrate
6 Judge Jacqueline Scott Corley on February 6, 2017;

7 2. The parties reached a settlement of this matter at the settlement conference, which was
8 read into the record, and is reflected at Dkt. No. 24;

9 3. Pursuant to the settlement, *inter alia*:

10 a. all claims or causes of action that were asserted by SOAProjects against
11 Defendants in the Complaint (Dkt. No. 1) are to be dismissed with prejudice;

12 b. each party is to bear its own attorneys’ fees and costs; and

13 4. SOAProjects and Defendants jointly request that the Court retain jurisdiction to
14 enforce the terms of the parties’ settlement agreement.

15 Accordingly, SOAProjects and Defendants request that the Court dismiss this matter with
16 prejudice, and retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the settlement reached by the parties.

17 Dated: March 17, 2017

DHILLON LAW GROUP INC.

18 By: /s/ Nitoj P. Singh
19 Nitoj P. Singh

20 Attorneys for Plaintiff SOAProjects, Inc.

21 Dated: March 17, 2017

PENNINGTON LAWSON LLP

22 By: /s/ Scott G. Lawson
23 Scott G. Lawson

24 Attorneys for Defendants Jayaraman
25 Swaminathan and AppWrap LLC
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

Pursuant to the parties' Joint Stipulation of Dismissal of Action with Prejudice, and good cause appearing, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. All claims or causes of action that were asserted by SOAProjects against Defendants in the Complaint (Dkt. No. 1) are hereby dismissed with prejudice;
2. Each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs;
3. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the parties' settlement agreement; and
4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 17, 2017

