United States District Court Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

JOY MACKELL,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Plaintiff,

v. WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE, et al., Defendants. Case No. 16-cv-04202-BLF

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO FILE A LATE BRIEF

[Re: ECF 33]

Plaintiff Joy Mackell ("Mackell") moves the Court for leave to file a late opposition to
Defendants' motion to dismiss under Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b). ECF 33. Mackell argues that there is
good cause because the opposition had already been prepared but was not filed due to an
inadvertent clerical error. *Id.* Mackell further argues that there would be no prejudice to
Defendants because Defendants could still to file their reply in support of their motion to dismiss.

18 Under Rule 6(b), a "determination of whether neglect is excusable is an equitable one that 19 depends on at least four factors: (1) the danger of prejudice to the opposing party; (2) the length of 20 the delay and its potential impact on the proceedings; (3) the reason for the delay; and (4) whether the movant acted in good faith." Bateman v. U.S. Postal Serv., 231 F.3d 1220, 1223-24 (9th Cir. 21 2000). The Court finds that there is no danger of prejudice to Defendants because the hearing for 22 23 Defendants' motion to dismiss has been vacated and a reply could still be filed. Moreover, 24 Defendants have not opposed this motion to file a late opposition. At this early stage of the case, 25 the late opposition would have no significant impact on the progression of the case. The Court 26 additionally finds the inadvertent clerical error to be an excusable neglect. Based on these 27 reasons, the Court GRANTS Mackell's motion for leave to file a late opposition to Defendants' 28 motion to dismiss.

United States District Court Northern District of California Mackell shall file the opposition attached as Exhibit A to its administrative motion, ECF 34-1, as a separate docket entry on or before December 13, 2016. Defendants shall file their reply on or before December 20, 2016. The Court will then rule on Defendants' motion to dismiss on the submitted papers without a hearing.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 9, 2016

heeman

BETH LABSON FREEMAN United States District Judge