1		POLSINELLI LLP	
2		Noel S. Cohen (State Bar No. 219645) 2049 Century Park East, Suite 2900	
3	Los Angeles, CA 90036	Los Angeles, CA 90067	
4		Γel: (310) 556-1801	
5		POLSINELLI PC Stacy A. Carpenter (admitted pro hac vice)	
6	120 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 2000	1401 Lawrence Street, Suite 2300	
7		Denver, CO 80202	
8		Γel: (303) 572-9300 scarpenter@polsinelli.com	
	Augustian Complete CCV all a Property Land		
9		POLSINELLI PC	
10		Britton L. St. Onge (<i>admitted pro hac vice</i>) 100 S. Fourth Street, Suite 1000	
11		St. Louis, MO 63102	
12		Γel: (314) 889-8000 pstonge@polsinelli.com	
13		Address on Con Defendance Design	
		Attorneys for Defendants Domtar Corporation and Polsinelli PC	
14		-	
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13		2-01-11-01-00-01-1	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAL	JIFORNIA – SAN JOSE DIVISION	
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAL KELLY BREZOCZKY, an individual,		
16		IFORNIA – SAN JOSE DIVISION Case No. 5:16-CV-4995-EJD	
16 17	KELLY BREZOCZKY, an individual,	IFORNIA – SAN JOSE DIVISION Case No. 5:16-CV-4995-EJD STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND DISPOSITIVE MOTION	
16 17 18	KELLY BREZOCZKY, an individual, Plaintiff,	IFORNIA – SAN JOSE DIVISION Case No. 5:16-CV-4995-EJD STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND DISPOSITIVE MOTION DEADLINES	
16 17 18 19	KELLY BREZOCZKY, an individual, Plaintiff, v.	IFORNIA – SAN JOSE DIVISION Case No. 5:16-CV-4995-EJD STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND DISPOSITIVE MOTION	
16 17 18 19 20 21	KELLY BREZOCZKY, an individual, Plaintiff, v. DOMTAR CORPORATION, a Delaware	IFORNIA – SAN JOSE DIVISION Case No. 5:16-CV-4995-EJD STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND DISPOSITIVE MOTION DEADLINES Judge: Hon. Edward J. Davila	
16 17 18 19 20	KELLY BREZOCZKY, an individual, Plaintiff, v. DOMTAR CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,	IFORNIA – SAN JOSE DIVISION Case No. 5:16-CV-4995-EJD STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND DISPOSITIVE MOTION DEADLINES Judge: Hon. Edward J. Davila	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	KELLY BREZOCZKY, an individual, Plaintiff, v. DOMTAR CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, Defendant. PLAINTIFF KELLY BREZOCZKY	IFORNIA – SAN JOSE DIVISION Case No. 5:16-CV-4995-EJD STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND DISPOSITIVE MOTION DEADLINES Judge: Hon. Edward J. Davila Courtroom 4, Fifth Floor	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	KELLY BREZOCZKY, an individual, Plaintiff, v. DOMTAR CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, Defendant. PLAINTIFF KELLY BREZOCZKY CORPORATION ("Defendant"), pursuant to Lo	Case No. 5:16-CV-4995-EJD STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND DISPOSITIVE MOTION DEADLINES Judge: Hon. Edward J. Davila Courtroom 4, Fifth Floor ("Plaintiff") and DEFENDANT DOMTAR	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	KELLY BREZOCZKY, an individual, Plaintiff, v. DOMTAR CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, Defendant. PLAINTIFF KELLY BREZOCZKY CORPORATION ("Defendant"), pursuant to Lostipulation asking the Court to briefly extend the	Case No. 5:16-CV-4995-EJD STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND DISPOSITIVE MOTION DEADLINES Judge: Hon. Edward J. Davila Courtroom 4, Fifth Floor ("Plaintiff") and DEFENDANT DOMTAR real Rules 6-2, 7-3 and 7-12, jointly submit this	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	KELLY BREZOCZKY, an individual, Plaintiff, v. DOMTAR CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, Defendant. PLAINTIFF KELLY BREZOCZKY CORPORATION ("Defendant"), pursuant to Lostipulation asking the Court to briefly extend the Domtar Corporation's Notice of Motion and Motion	Case No. 5:16-CV-4995-EJD STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND DISPOSITIVE MOTION DEADLINES Judge: Hon. Edward J. Davila Courtroom 4, Fifth Floor ("Plaintiff") and DEFENDANT DOMTAR ocal Rules 6-2, 7-3 and 7-12, jointly submit this deadlines for: (1) Plaintiff to file her opposition to	

1	<u>RECITALS</u>		
2	WHEREAS, on October 17, 2017, Defendant filed the Motion;		
3	WHEREAS, pursuant to Local Rule 7.3(a), Plaintiff's opposition to the Motion is due of	on	
4	October 31, 2017;		
5	WHEREAS, Plaintiff has requested that Defendant agree to extend Plaintiff's deadline for filing		
6	the opposition to the Motion by one (1) day to November 1, 2017 ;		
7	WHEREAS, Plaintiff has been diligent in preparing its opposition to the Motion but requires		
8	additional time for various reason, including but not limited to:		
9	a. Plaintiff and former Defendant Polsinelli PC have been engaged in settlement negotiation	ns	
10	that successfully resulted in the execution of a settlement agreement and the filing of	on	
11	October 27, 2017, of a stipulation dismissing all claims against Polsinelli PC with prejudic	æ,	
12	which was entered by this Court on October 30, 2017 (ECF No. 74);		
13	b. Counsel for Plaintiff is lead trial counsel for the plaintiff in a matter styled Gregory Ingal	lls	
14	et al v. Spotify USA Inc., 3:16-cv-03533-WHA, pending before the Honorable Willia	ım	
15	Alsup in the San Francisco Division of this Court. On October 27, 2017, the parties we	re	
16	required to serve their pre-trial disclosures in this matter pursuant to Fed. R. Civ.	P.	
17	26(a)(3)(C).		
18	c. Also in the Ingalls matter, the parties are required to file a joint proposed final pretrial ord	ler	
19	and other pretrial materials on October 31, 2017, in advance of the pretrial conference s	set	
20	for November 8, 2017.		
21	WHEREAS, Defendant has agreed to grant Plaintiff one (1) additional day to file h	er	
22	opposition to the Motion on November 1, 2017;		
23	WHEREAS, pursuant to Local Rule 7-3(c), provided this stipulation is granted, Defendant	t's	
24	reply in support of the Motion would be due on November 8, 2017;		
25	WHEREAS, Plaintiff has agreed to provide Defendant with one (1) additional day to file	its	
26	reply in support of the Motion on November 9, 2017;		
27			
28			

1	WHEREAS, the dispositive motion deadlines in this case have not been extended with respect		
2	to the Domtar Motion; ¹		
3	WHEREAS, there is good cause for extending the deadlines as set forth herein;		
4	WHEREAS, extending this deadline would not prejudice any party;		
5	WHEREAS, extending the deadlines as set forth herein would not affect any other dates in the		
6	Amended Pretrial Order in the event the Court accepts the proposed new deadlines below.		
7	<u>STIPULATION</u>		
8	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the parties that:		
9	A. Plaintiff shall file her opposition to the Motion on November 1, 2017 ; and		
10	B. Defendant shall file its reply in support of the Motion on November 9, 2017.		
11	Dated: October 30, 2017	LEONARDMEYER LLP	
12		By: /s/ Derek J. Meyer	
13		Derek J. Meyer Attorneys for Plaintiff	
14			
15			
16	Dated: October 30, 2017	DOMTAR CORPORATION	
17		By: /s/ Noel S. Cohen (with consent) Noel S. Cohen	
18		Attorneys for Defendant	
19	NAME OF THE CHAPTER A PROPERTY OF	GO ODDUDED	
20	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
21		-	
22	Dated: October 31, 2017	Hon. Edward J. Davila	
23		United States District Judge	
24			
25			
26	Doubling with respect to discontinuous	ations to be filed by Delainelli DC ware marriagaly automated	
27	Deadlines with respect to dispositive motions to be filed by Polsinelli PC were previously extended to allow time for the parties to negotiate settlement. (ECF Nos. 60 and 70.) As a result of the negotations, Polsinelli PC did not file a dispositive motion and has been dismissed <i>with prejudice</i> .		
28	negotations, roisinem re did not me a dis	spositive motion and has been distrissed with prejudice.	

DECLARATION OF DEREK J. MEYER

- I, Derek J. Meyer, declare and state as follows:
- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law before all courts in the State of California, including the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. I am one of the attorneys of record for Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.
- 2. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein and if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently as to those facts.
- 3. On **October 17, 2017**, Defendant filed its Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment and or Adjudication ("Motion", ECF No. 63);
- 4. Pursuant to Local Rule 7.3(a), Plaintiff's opposition to the Motion is due on **October** 31, 2017;
- 5. Plaintiff has requested that Defendant agree to extend Plaintiff's deadline for filing the opposition to the Motion by one (1) day to **November 1, 2017**;
- 6. Plaintiff has been diligent in preparing its opposition to the Motion but requires additional time for various reason, including but not limited to:
 - a. Plaintiff and former Defendant Polsinelli PC have been engaged in settlement negotiations that successfully resulted in the execution of a settlement agreement and the filing on October 27, 2017, of a stipulation dismissing all claims against Polsinelli PC with prejudice, which was entered by this Court on October 30, 2017 (ECF No. 74);
 - b. I am lead trial counsel for the plaintiff in a matter styled *Gregory Ingalls et al v. Spotify USA Inc.*, 3:16-cv-03533-WHA, pending before the Honorable William Alsup in the San Francisco Division of this Court. On **October 27, 2017**, the parties were required to serve their pre-trial disclosures in this matter pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3)(C).
 - c. Also in the *Ingalls* matter, the parties are required to file a joint proposed final pretrial order and other pretrial materials on **October 31**, **2017**, in advance of the pretrial conference set for **November 8**, **2017**.
 - 7. Defendant has agreed to grant Plaintiff one (1) additional day to file her opposition to

1	the Motion on No	ovember 1, 2017;			
2	8. Pt	ursuant to Local Rule 7-3(c), provided this stipulation is granted, Defendant's reply in			
3	support of the Motion would be due on November 8, 2017;				
4	9. Pl	laintiff has agreed to provide Defendant with one (1) additional day to file its reply in			
5	support of the Motion on November 9, 2017;				
6	10. TI	he dispositive motion deadlines in this case have not been extended with respect to			
7	the Domtar Motion;				
8	11. TI	here is good cause for extending the deadlines as set forth herein;			
9	12. Ex	xtending this deadline would not prejudice any party;			
10	13. Ex	xtending the deadlines as set forth herein would not affect any other dates in the			
11	Amended Pretrial Order in the event the Court accepts the proposed new deadlines set forth herein.				
12	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the				
13	foregoing is true and correct.				
14	Executed	Executed on October 30, 2017 at Los Angeles, California.			
15		/s/ Derek J. Meyer			
16		Derek J. Meyer			
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	III.				