

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIAESEQUIEL GARCIA,
Petitioner,

v.

RAYTHEL FISHER, Warden,
Respondent.

Case No. 16-05301 BLF (PR)

**ORDER GRANTING THIRD
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
TRAVERSE**

(Docket No. 69)

Petitioner, a California prisoner, filed a *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On June 19, 2020, Respondent filed an answer. Dkt. No. 56. Petitioner's traverse is currently due no later than November 3, 2020. Dkt. No. 68. On October 26, 2020, Petitioner filed a third application for enlargement of time to file a traverse. Dkt. No. 69. Petitioner asserts that there have been delays in the processing of incoming mail, he needs more time to access exhibits, and COVID-19 restrictions limit his ability to conduct research and prepare his traverse. *Id.* at 2-4.

Good cause appearing, Petitioner's motion for a third extension of time to file a traverse is **GRANTED**. Petitioner shall file his traverse **no later than January 4, 2021**. The matter will be deemed submitted on the date Petitioner's traverse is due.

Petitioner also requests a ruling on his motion to compel. *Id.* at 5. It appears that


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Petitioner has not yet received a copy of the last court order in this action, denying that motion. Dkt. No. 68. **Accordingly, the Clerk shall enclose a copy of the court order filed under Docket No. 68, along with a copy of this order to Petitioner.**

This order terminates Docket No. 69.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 3, 2020


BETH LABSON FREEMAN
United States District Judge