1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

IN RE YAHOO! INC. CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH LITIGATION

Case No. 16-MD-02752-LHK

ORDER SELECTING LEAD NTIFFS' COUNSEL AND PLAINTIFFS' EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

In an order issued on December 13, 2016, the Court invited counsel for the various plaintiffs in this multidistrict litigation ("MDL") to file motions to serve as lead plaintiffs' counsel. ECF No. 2 at 3. The Court explained that the "chief criteria" the Court would consider in appointing lead plaintiffs' counsel would be: (1) "Knowledge and experience in prosecuting complex litigation, including class actions, data breach, and/or privacy cases"; (2) "Willingness and ability to commit to a time-consuming process"; (3) "Ability to work cooperatively and efficiently with others"; (4) "Access to sufficient resources to prosecute the litigation in a timely manner"; and (5) "Commitment to prioritizing the interests of the putative class." Id. These criteria largely track the four factors a district court must consider in appointing class counsel under Rule 23(g)(1)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g)(1)(A).

On January 5, 2017, the Court received four separate motions to serve as lead plaintiffs' counsel in this action. ECF Nos. 19, 21, 23, 24. On January 12, 2017, the Court received five response briefs. ECF Nos. 34, 35, 36, 37, 38. On January 19, 2017, the Court received two replies.

ECF Nos. 43, 44.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Having reviewed all of these submissions, having heard the arguments at the February 9, 2017 hearing, and considering the criteria set forth in the Court's December 13, 2016 order as well as the factors enumerated in Rule 23(g)(1)(A), the Court hereby GRANTS the Joint Application for Appointment of Plaintiffs' Executive Committee filed by John Yanchunis of Morgan & Morgan, Ariana Tadler of Milberg LLP ("Milberg"), Stuart Davidson of Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP ("Robbins Geller"), Gayle Blatt of Casey Gerry Schenk Francavilla Blatt & Penfield LLP ("Casey Gerry"), and Karen Riebel of Lockridge Grindal Nauen PLLP ("Lockridge"). ECF No. 19.

The Court hereby APPOINTS John Yanchunis as Lead Plaintiffs' Counsel and APPOINTS a Plaintiffs' Executive Committee consisting of Ariana Tadler of Milberg, Stuart Davidson of Robbins Geller, Gayle Blatt of Casey Gerry, and Karen Riebel of Lockridge. John Yanchunis shall serve as Chair of the Executive Committee.

Lead Plaintiffs' Counsel and the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee shall take the following steps to ensure efficiency in representing the putative class in this MDL. First, as Lead Plaintiffs' Counsel, John Yanchunis shall review all billing records for all billers every month and strike duplicative or inefficient billing. Second, all billing shall be contemporaneous, meaning that billing for each task shall be recorded within seven days of the task. Third, all billing shall be recorded by task, rather than by block billing. Fourth, only work that has been assigned shall be eligible for compensation. Finally, Lead Plaintiffs' Counsel and the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee shall impose and enforce limits on the number of lawyers assigned to each task.

Having granted the joint motion for appointment, ECF No. 19, the Court therefore DENIES the three other pending motions to serve as lead plaintiffs' counsel. ECF Nos. 21, 23, 24. IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 9, 2017

United States District Judge

ucy H. Koh

Case No. 16-MD-02752-LHK