Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	4

EDWARD MORTON,

Plaintiff,

v.

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No.16-cv-05833-HRL

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL

Re: Dkt. No. 19

Arnak Azaryan ("Azaryan") of RA & Associates, APC, moves to withdraw as counsel for plaintiff Edward Morton ("Morton"). Dkt. No. 19. Azaryan asserts that Morton has "repeatedly failed to maintain regular communications" with his attorney, and that Morton has further "failed and refused to pay for attorney's fees and costs pursuant to the fee agreement." Id. Both of these conditions, Azaryan argues, are sufficient reasons for a court to permit an attorney to withdraw from a representation. *Id.* Finally, Azaryan asserts that Morton was served with notice of his intent to withdraw "by e-mail and mail," and that Morton did not respond. Id.

No party submitted a timely opposition to this motion for withdrawal. As such, the court deems this matter suitable for decision without oral argument pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-1(b) and vacates the hearing set for December 15, 2016.

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 11-5(a), counsel may withdraw only with permission from the court and after providing written notice in advance to all parties. The California Rules of Professional Conduct set out several grounds for which an attorney may seek to withdraw. Cal. Rules of Prof'l Conduct R. 3-700(C)(1); Waters v. E.P. Architectural Builders, Inc., No. C 10-03193 LB, 2011 WL 482769 (N.D. Cal., Feb. 7, 2011). A breakdown in the attorney-client relationship that makes it unreasonably difficult for the attorney to continue the representation is an acceptable reason for withdrawal. Cal. Rules of Prof'l Conduct R. 3-700(C)(1)(d); see also

Vedatech, Inc. v. St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co., Nos. C04-1429 VRW, C 04-1403 VRW, C04
1818 VRW, 2008 WL 2790200, at *6 (N.D. Cal., July 17, 2008). A failure to pay agreed-upon
attorneys' fees is another valid reason for withdrawal. Cal. Rules of Prof'l Conduct R. 3-
700(C)(1)(f); Waters v. E.P. Architectural Builders, Inc., No. C 10-03193 LB, 2011 WL 482769
(N.D. Cal., Feb. 7, 2011).
Here, the court finds good cause to grant Azaryan's motion to withdraw because Morton
has failed to communicate with Azaryan and failed to pay agreed-upon fees. Since no

Here, the court finds good cause to grant Azaryan's motion to withdraw because Morton has failed to communicate with Azaryan and failed to pay agreed-upon fees. Since no arrangement for substitute counsel has been made, however, and Morton has not agreed to represent himself pro se, the court grants the motion to withdraw on the condition that papers may be served on Azaryan for forwarding purposes unless and until Morton appears by other counsel or pro se. Civil L.R. 11-5(b). Counsel shall notify Morton of this condition.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12/6/2016

HOWARD R. LLOYD United States Magistrate Judge