Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

BMW OF NORTH AMERICA LLC, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

K VIP AUTO BODY, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:17-cv-00543-EJD

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION; DEFAULT JUDGMENT

Re: Dkt. No. 55

Presently before the Court is Magistrate Judge Kim's Report and Recommendation to dismiss Defendant K VIP Auto Body and to enter default judgment against Guo Yong Chen, Jingjing Deng a/k/a Tina Deng, Shao Chun Chen, and Fen Deng a/k/a Andy Deng (collectively referred to as "Individual Defendants") (Dkt. No. 55). To date, no objection to the Report and Recommendation has been filed. Having conducted a do novo review of the Report and Recommendation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636 and Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(b), the Court ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 55) in its entirety and orders as follows:

- 1. Defendant K VIP Auto Body is DISMISSED from this action.
- 2. Plaintiffs' motion for default judgment against the Individual Defendants is GRANTED. Plaintiffs are AWARDED \$200,000 in statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c). Further, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116(a), the Individual Defendants and their agents, representatives, and all persons acting in concert with them, are PERMANENTLY ENJOINED from:
- a. The import, export, manufacture, reproduction, assembly, use, acquisition, purchase, offer, sale, transfer, brokerage, consignment, distribution, shipment, licensing, development, Case No.: <u>5:17-cv-</u>00543-EJD ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION; DEFAULT JUDGMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

display, delivery, marketing, advertising or promotion of any counterfeit products bearing the BMW®, M2®, M3®, M5®, M6®, MINI®, MINI COOPER®, the BMW® blue/white/black circle colored mark, the BMW® white circle mark, the BMW® black circle mark, the MINI® wing emblem, the ///M® colored striping mark, the ///M® non-colored striping mark, and the stylized S® mark, specifically including but not limited to any air caps, valve stem caps, badges, key chains, automobile parts or accessories, and any other unauthorized merchandise bearing any of Plaintiffs' Trademarks (including any non-genuine reproduction, counterfeit, copy or colorable imitation thereof);

b. The import, export, manufacture, reproduction, assembly, use, acquisition, purchase, offer, sale, transfer, brokerage, consignment, distribution, shipment, licensing, development, display, delivery, marketing, advertising or promotion of any counterfeit products bearing the BMW®, M2®, M3®, M5®, M6®, MINI®, MINI COOPER®, the BMW® blue/white/black circle colored mark, the BMW® white circle mark, the BMW® black circle mark, the MINI® wing emblem, the ///M® colored striping mark, the ///M® non-colored striping mark, and the stylized S® mark, specifically including but not limited to any air caps, valve stem caps, badges, key chains, automobile parts or accessories, and any other product which infringes or dilutes any of Plaintiffs' Trademarks, trade name, and/or trade dress, including but not limited to any of Plaintiffs' Trademarks;

c. The unauthorized use, in any manner whatsoever, of any of Plaintiffs' Trademarks, trade names and/or trade dress, including but not limited to BMW®, M2®, M3®, M5®, M6®, MINI®, MINI COOPER®, the BMW® blue/white/black circle colored mark, the BMW® white circle mark, the BMW® black circle mark, the MINI® wing emblem, the ///M® colored striping mark, the ///M® non-colored striping mark, and the stylized S® mark, any variants, colorable imitations, translations and/or simulations thereof, and/or any items that are confusingly similar thereto, including specifically:

- i. on or in conjunction with any product or service; and
- ii. on or in conjunction with any advertising, promotional materials, labels,

Case No.: <u>5:17-cv-00543-EJD</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

hangtags, packaging, or containers;

d. The use of any trademark, trade name, or trade dress that falsely represents, or is likely to confuse, mislead or deceive purchasers, customers, or members of the public to believe that unauthorized product imported, exported, manufactured, reproduced, distributed, assembled, acquired, purchased, offered, sold, transferred, brokered, consigned, distributed, stored, shipped, marketed, advertised and/or promoted by Defendants originate from Plaintiffs, or that said merchandise has been sponsored, approved, licensed by, or associated with Plaintiffs or is, in some way, connected or affiliated with Plaintiffs;

e. Engaging in any conduct that falsely represents that, or is likely to confuse, mislead, or deceive purchasers, customers, or members of the public to believe that Defendants are connected with, or are in some way sponsored by or affiliated with Plaintiffs, purchases product from or otherwise has a business relationship with Plaintiffs; and/or

f. Affixing, applying, annexing, or using in connection with the manufacture, distribution, advertising, sale, and/or offering for sale or other use of any goods, a false description or representation, including words or symbols, tending to falsely describe or represent such goods as being those of Plaintiffs.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 30, 2018

EDWARD J. DAVILA United States District Judge

Case No.: 5:17-cv-00543-EJD 28