

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN JOSE DIVISION**

DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL
 TRUSTEE COMPANY, ET AL.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

WILLIAM CUTLIP,

Defendant.

Case No. [17-cv-01416-BLF](#)

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND
 RECOMMENDATION OF
 MAGISTRATE JUDGE LLOYD AND
 REMANDING ACTION TO THE
 SANTA CLARA SUPERIOR COURT**

[Re: ECF 4]

United States District Court
 Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of Magistrate Judge Howard R. Lloyd remanding this unlawful detainer action and finding Defendants’ motion to proceed in forma pauperis moot. *See* ECF 4.

When reviewing a Report and Recommendation for a nondispositive matter, a court must “set aside any part of the order that is clearly erroneous or is contrary to law.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a). For a dispositive matter, like the one at issue here, a court “must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge’s disposition that has been properly objected to.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(1)(3). *See also* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). No objections to the R&R have been filed and the deadline to object has elapsed. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2); *see* Docket No. 17-1416 (Defendants served with R&R on March 21, 2017).

After reviewing the entire record in this case, the Court finds the R&R correct, well reasoned, and thorough, and adopts it in every respect. Accordingly, the above-titled unlawful detainer action is REMANDED to the Monterey County Superior Court. The Court admonishes Defendants that continued attempts to remove this matter may result in sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 4, 2017


 BETH LABSON FREEMAN
 United States District Judge