

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Petitioner,
v.
ADIL HIRAMANNEK,
Respondent.

Case Nos. 17-cv-03389 NC, 17-cv-03392 NC

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Re: Dkt. No. 10

The Court has reviewed respondent Adil Hirananeek's June 20 filing, docket number 10 in case number 17-cv-03389. In it, he states that he is "contemplating an appropriate filing that may require" recusal of the undersigned magistrate judge in these related cases. No facts that would require recusal are presented. If Mr. Hirananeek has a factual basis for the Court to consider whether recusal is appropriate, he must present them in a declaration under penalty of perjury filed by July 5, 2017.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 20, 2017


NATHANAEL M. COUSINS
United States Magistrate Judge