Rubiolo v. N	ational Passenger Railroad Corporation		Doc. 41
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	* * *		
11	MILDRED RUBIOLO,	CASE NO. 5:17-cv-04698-NC Complaint filed: 8/14/17	
12	Plaintiff,	Trial Date: 3/4/19	
13	VS.	STIPULATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL	
14	vs.	STIT CLATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL	
15	NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION, a.k.a AMTRAK,		
16	Defendants.		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiff, MILDRED RUBIOLO, and		
20	Defendant, NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION (Amtrak), through their		
21	respective counsel, the above-titled matter having been settled, that the Court dismiss the matter, with		
22	prejudice, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii). Each party agrees to bear		r
23	their own fees and costs.		
24	DATED: October 11, 2018	HILDEBRAND McLEOD & NELSON, LLP	
25	By: Haul		
26	PAULA RASMUSSEN, ESQ. CHARLES S. BRACEWELL, ESQ.		
27	Attorneys for plaintiff, MILDRED RUBIOLO		
28			
STIPULATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL			

DATED: October 11, 2018 FLESHER SCHAFF & SCHROEDER, INC. /s/ JEREMY J. SCHROEDER By JACOB D. FLESHER, ESQ. JASON W. SCHAFF, ESQ. JEREMY J. SCHROEDER, ESQ. Attorneys for defendant, NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER **CORPORATION** IT IS SO ORDERED. GRANTED Dated: <u>October 12, 2018</u> Judge Nathanael M. Cousins