

1 ERIC W. BUETHER (*pro hac vice* admitted)
 2 CHRISTOPHER M. JOE (*pro hac vice*
 admitted)
 3 KENNETH P. KULA (*pro hac vice* admitted)
 BLAKE BUETHER (*pro hac vice* admitted)
 BUETHER JOE & CARPENTER, LLC
 4 1700 Pacific, Suite 4750, Dallas, TX 75201
 Telephone: (214) 466-1270
 Facsimile: (214) 635-1842
 5 Email: Eric.Buether@BJCIPLaw.com
 Chris.Joe@BJCIPLaw.com
 Ken.Kula@BJCIPLaw.com
 6 Blake.Buether@BJCIPLaw.com

JAMES W. CHRISTIAN (*pro hac vice*
 admitted)
 CHRISTIAN, SMITH & JEWELL, LLP
 2302 Fannin, Suite 500
 Houston, Texas 77002
 Telephone: (713) 659-7617
 Facsimile: (713) 659-7641
 Email: JChristian@CSJ-Law.com

7 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*
 ELI ATTIA & ELI ATTIA ARCHITECT PC

Attorney for Plaintiffs
 ELI ATTIA & ELI ATTIA ARCHITECT PC


9 JAMIE L. DUPREE (158105)
 FUTTERMAN DUPREE DODD CROLEY MAIER
 LLP
 10 180 Sansome Street, 17th Floor
 San Francisco, California 94104
 11 Telephone: (415) 399-3840
 Facsimile: (415) 399-3838
 12 Email: jdupree@fddcm.com

PROFESSOR G. ROBERT BLAKEY*
 (*pro hac vice* admitted)
 Notre Dame Law School
 7002 East San Miguel Avenue
 Paradise Valley, AZ 85325
 Telephone: (574) 514-8220

13 *Local Counsel for Plaintiff*
 ELI ATTIA AND ELI ATTIA ARCHITECT PC

Of Counsel *For identification purposes only

or other parties noted on signature



19 Eli Attia and Eli Attia Architect PC,
 20 Plaintiffs,

Case No. 5:17-cv-06037-BLF
 Honorable Beth Labson Freeman

21 v.

22 Google, Inc., Flux Factory, Inc., Larry Page,
 23 Sergey Brin, Sebastian Thrun, Eric "Astro"
 Teller, Michelle Kaufmann, Jennifer Carlile,
 Augusto Roman, Nicholas Chim, and DOES 1-
 100,

**STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF ORDER
 OF DISMISSAL**

24 Defendants.

1 Plaintiffs Eli Attia (“Mr. Attia”) and Eli Attia Architect PC (“Attia PC”) (collectively,
2 “Plaintiffs”) hereby stipulate to the entry of the attached Stipulated Order of Dismissal as follows.

3 1. This is an action brought by Eli Attia and Eli Attia Architect PC (“Plaintiffs”)
4 against Google, Inc., Flux Factory, Inc., Larry Page, Sergey Brin, Sebastian Thrun, Eric “Astro”
5 Teller, Michelle Kaufmann, Jennifer Carlile, Augusto Roman, Nicholas Chim, and DOES 1-100
6 (collectively “Defendants”). Plaintiffs are asserted asserting (1) a state law claim for breach of
7 contract against Google, (2) a state law claim for misappropriation of trade secrets against all
8 Defendants, (3) a claim for theft of trade secrets under the Defend Trade Secrets Act, 18 U.S.C. §
9 1836(b)(1) (“DTSA”) against Defendants Google and Flux, and (4) a claim under the Racketeer
10 Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (“RICO”), 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961, *et. seq.* Defendant
11 Google has asserted state law based counterclaims against Plaintiffs. None of the Defendants have
12 asserted counterclaims against the Plaintiffs under any federal law.

13 2. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the claims based on the
14 DTSA and RICO based upon 28 U.S.C. § 1332, and supplemental jurisdiction over the state law
15 claims and counterclaims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c).

16 4. On June 13, 2018, the Court issued its Order (1) Granting with Leave to Amend
17 Defendants’ Motions to Dismiss RICO Claims in the Fourth Amended Complaint and (2) Denying
18 Plaintiffs’ Partial Motion to Remand (the “Motion to Dismiss Order”).

19 5. Pursuant to the Court’s Motion to Dismiss Order, Plaintiffs have filed a Fifth
20 Amended Complaint revising certain allegations in response to the Court’s statements at the March
21 8, 2018, hearing on Defendants’ Motions to Dismiss and the Court’s Motion to Dismiss Order.

22 6. In view of the Court’s Motion to Dismiss Order and for purposes of this stipulation
23 and any resulting appeal only, Plaintiffs stipulate that, under the Court's Motion to Dismiss Order,
24 Plaintiffs cannot prevail on their claims against Defendants Google and Flux in the Fifth Amended
25

1 Complaint under the DTSA and RICO. Therefore, Plaintiffs stipulate and agree to the entry this
2 stipulated order of dismissal for the purpose of enabling them to appeal the dismissal of those
3 claims as stated in the Court's Motion to Dismiss Order. In view of this stipulation, Plaintiffs'
4 claims against Defendants Google and Flux in the Fifth Amended Complaint under the DTSA and
5 RICO should be dismissed with prejudice and their state law claims against Defendants and the
6 state law counterclaims of Defendant Google against them are remanded to the state court for lack
7 of subject matter jurisdiction.

8 7. This stipulated order of dismissal is without prejudice to Plaintiffs' ability to appeal
9 the Court's Motion to Dismiss Order and the order dismissing with prejudice Plaintiffs' claims
10 under the DTSA and RICO based in whole or in part on that order. Moreover, if the Court's
11 Motion to Dismiss Order is not affirmed on any appeal and this matter is remanded, the parties
12 shall have all rights to pursue any claims or defenses they could have asserted had they not entered
13 into the stipulation.

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26

1 Dated: August 13, 2018

2 **BUETHER JOE & CARPENTER, LLC**

3 */s/ Eric W. Buether*

4 Eric W. Buether
5 Christopher M. Joe
6 Kenneth P. Kula
7 Blake W. Buether
8 1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4750
9 Dallas, TX 75201
10 (214) 466-1271
11 (214) 635-1827 – Fax

12 Jamie L. Dupree (#158105)
13 **FUTTERMAN DUPREE DODD CROLEY MAIER LLP**
14 180 Sansome Street, 17th Floor
15 San Francisco, CA 94104
16 (415) 399-3840
17 (415) 399-3838 – Fax

18 James W. Christian
19 **CHRISTIAN, SMITH & JEWELL, LLP**
20 2302 Fannin, Suite 500
21 Houston, Texas 77002
22 (713) 659-7617
23 (713) 659-7641 – Fax

24 Professor G. Robert Blakey Emeritus*
25 Notre Dame Law School
26 7002 East San Miguel Ave.
Paradise Valley, AZ 85325
D.C. Bar 424899
Tele. 574-514-8220
Of Counsel

* For identification purposes only.

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS
ELI ATTIA AND ELI ATTIA ARCHITECT PC