1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
7		
8	SCOTT JOHNSON,	Case No.18-cv-01629-VKD
9	Plaintiff,	
10	v.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE SETTLEMENT
11	ELIZABETH ESTENSEN, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13	The Court having been informed that the parties have agreed to a settlement, all previously	
14	scheduled deadlines and appearances are VACATED.	
15	On or before October 19, 2018 , the parties shall file a stipulated dismissal pursuant to Fed.	
16	R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(ii). Rule 41(a)(1) permits a plaintiff to voluntarily dismiss a case without a	
17	court order (i) by notice if the defendants have not filed an answer or motion for summary	
18	judgment, or (ii) by stipulation signed by all parties who have appeared. Because defendants have	
19	filed an answer, plaintiff must file a stipulated dismissal pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(ii).	
20	If a dismissal is not filed by the specified date, then the parties shall appear in Courtroom	
21	2, 5th Floor, 280 South First Street, San Jose, California on October 30, 2018, at 10:00 a.m. and	
22	show cause, if any, why the case should not be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a).	
23	Additionally, the parties shall file a statement in response to this Order to Show Cause no later	
24	than October 23, 2018 advising as to (1) the status of the activities of the parties in finalizing	
25	settlement; and (2) how much additional time, if any, is requested to finalize the settlement and	
26	file the dismissal. If a dismissal is filed as ordered, the Order to Show Cause hearing will be	
27	automatically vacated and the parties need not file a statement in response to this Order.	

United States District Court Northern District of California

28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

United States District Court

Dated: September 4, 2018

Urginia K. DEMARCHI United States Magistrate Judge