	Case 0.10 ov 02002 Lob Bootiment 400	1 110d 02/11/21 1 ago 1 01 2
1	Floyd G. Short (pro hac vice)	
2	Matthew R. Berry (pro hac vice) Steven M. Seigel (pro hac vice) P. Ryan Burningham (pro hac vice) SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 Seattle, Washington 98101-3000 Phone: (206) 516-3800 Fax: (206) 516-3883 fshort@susmangodfrey.com mberry@susmangodfrey.com	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	sseigel@susmangodfrey.com rburningham@susmangodfrey.com	
8	Kalpana Srinivasan (CA Bar No. 237460)	
9	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400	
10	Los Angeles, California 90067-6029 Phone: (310) 789-3100	
11	Fax: (310) 789-3150 ksrinivasan@susmangodfrey.com	
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff NetFuel, Inc.	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION	
14		
15		
16	NETFUEL, INC.,	Case No. 5:18-cv-2352-EJD (NMC)
17	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE
18	vs.	
19	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,	
20	Defendant.	
21	ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE	
22	Plaintiff NetFuel, Inc. ("NetFuel") and Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc. ("Cisco"),	
23	announced to the Court that they have settled their respective claims for relief asserted in this	
24	,	
25	case and jointly moved this Court to dismiss the above-entitled cause and all claims by NetFuel	
26	against Cisco and all counterclaims by Cisco against NetFuel with prejudice, with the Court	
27	retaining jurisdiction over any controversy or claim relating to the terms and conditions of the	
28	parties' settlement agreement until the date that Cisco makes the payment specified in Section	
	ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE	Case No. 5:18-cv-2352-EJD

4.2 of that agreement. The Court, having considered this request, is of the opinion that their request for dismissal should be GRANTED.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the above-entitled cause and all claims against Cisco by NetFuel and against NetFuel by Cisco herein are dismissed, with prejudice to the re-filing of same.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all attorneys' fees, costs of court and expenses shall be borne by each party incurring the same.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court will retain jurisdiction over any controversy or claim relating to the terms and conditions of the parties' settlement agreement until the date that Cisco makes the payment specified in Section 4.2 of that agreement.

This is a final judgment.

Signed this February day of 11, 2021

EDWARD J. DAVILA United States District Judge