

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –31)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 619 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

<p>Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.</p>
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px 10px;"> <p>May 24, 2018</p> </div>
<p>CLERK'S OFFICE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION</p>

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffrey N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office.

Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk
U.S. District Court
Northern District of Ohio

By: s/R. Bradway
Deputy Clerk



**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-31 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ALABAMA NORTHERN			
ALN	4	18-00708	St. Clair County, Alabama v. Actavis LLC et al
CALIFORNIA EASTERN			
CAE	1	18-00626	County of Mariposa, et al v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
CAE	1	18-00630	County of Tuolumne, et al v. Amerisource Bergen Drug Corporation et al
CAE	2	18-01135	County of Sacramento v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
CAE	2	18-01137	County of Lassen v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN			
CAN	5	18-02693	County of Monterey v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN			
CAS	3	18-00882	County of San Diego et al v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
FLORIDA NORTHERN			
FLN	1	18-00086	ALACHUA COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA LP et al
NEW JERSEY			
NJ	2	18-08845	BERGEN COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P. et al
WASHINGTON WESTERN			
WAW	3	18-05361	Clallam County v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al