1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
7		
8	ALICE COTTI, et al.,	Case No. 18-cv-02980-BLF
9	Plaintiffs,	
10	v.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE
11	WILL LIGHTBOURNE, et al.,	DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH COURT ORDER AND
12	Defendants.	FAILURE TO PROSECUTE
13		

On December 19, 2018, the Court granted Defendants' motions to dismiss the second amended complaint with leave to amend. See ECF 93. The Court set a deadline of January 11, 2019 for Plaintiffs to file a third amended complaint. See id.

18 On January 11, 2019, Plaintiffs filed an Ex Parte Application to extend the deadline to file 19 a third amended complaint. See ECF 95. In the application, Plaintiffs' counsel represented that 20 she had suffered illness which prevented her from meeting the January 11, 2019 deadline. See id. The Court granted an extension of the deadline for amendment until February 4, 2019. See ECF 21 96. 22

23 Plaintiffs have neither filed a third amended complaint nor requested a further extension of 24 time to do so. On February 11, 2019, Defendant Peace Officers Standards & Training 25 Commission ("POST") filed a document titled Opposition to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for Order Extending Time to File Pleadings. See ECF 98. POST's filing appears to be a response to 26 an application by Plaintiffs seeking a further extension of time. See id. However, as noted above, 27 28 Plaintiff has not filed any such application with the Court.

14

15

16

17

2019, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to comply with the Court's order requiring amendment on or before February 4, 2019 and for failure to prosecute. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: February 13, 2019

oh falen heeman

BETH LABSON FREEMAN United States District Judge

Plaintiffs are ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE, in writing and on or before February 20,