Plaintiffs Jacqueline Dolby and Vladimir Gusinsky Revocable Trust ("Plaintiffs")
individual defendants Lisa T. Su, Devinder Kumar, John E. Caldwell, Nicholas M. Donofrio
Ahmed Yahia, Nora M. Denzel, Michael J. Inglis, Joseph A. Householder, John W. Marren
Abhi Y. Talwalkar, Mark Durcan, and Bruce L. Claflin (the "Individual Defendants"), and
nominal defendant Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. ("AMD" or the "Company," together with
Individual Defendants, the "Defendants") (Plaintiffs and Defendants are collectively referred to
nerein as the "Parties"), hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

WHEREAS, on June 14 and 26, 2018, two stockholder derivative actions were filed in this Court, on behalf of AMD;

WHEREAS, on July 6, 2018, the Parties submitted a stipulation and proposed order consolidating the two related stockholder derivative actions and appointing lead counsel (Dkt. No. 8);

WHEREAS, on July 12, 2018, the Court consolidated the two stockholder derivative actions (this "Derivative Action") (Dkt. No. 10);

WHEREAS, the deadline for Plaintiffs to file a consolidated complaint in the Derivative Action is August 13, 2018;

WHEREAS, there is a related securities class action pending in the Northern District of California before the Honorable Edward J. Davila, captioned *Kim v. Advanced Micro Devices*, *Inc.*, *et al.*, No. 5:18-cv-00321-EJD, (the "Securities Class Action");

WHEREAS, a briefing schedule has been ordered for anticipated motions to dismiss in the Securities Class Action providing for a hearing date on the motions to dismiss of January 17, 2019;

WHEREAS, while this is a derivative action asserting different claims for liability on behalf of (rather than against) AMD, it involves some of the same parties as and some factual overlap with the Securities Class Action;

WHEREAS, while Plaintiffs believe that that the derivative claims are not dependent on and have merit independent of the Securities Class Action and Defendants do not agree, the

Parties agree a ruling on the scheduled motions to dismiss in the Securities Class Action may help inform the manner in which the Derivative Action proceeds;

WHEREAS, counsel for the Parties have met and conferred and, given the circumstances of this case, in the interests of judicial efficiency, and to preserve the Company's and the Court's resources, agree that a stay of this Derivative Action on the terms set forth herein, subject to this Court's approval, until a decision is rendered on the scheduled motions to dismiss in the Securities Class Action, is appropriate and in the Company's best interests;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs shall be permitted to file a consolidated complaint notwithstanding the agreed-to stay, but Defendants need not respond to any such complaint during the pendency of the stay; and

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned Parties hereby stipulate and agree, and respectfully request that the Court enter an Order as follows:

- In light of the foregoing considerations, good cause exists for a stay of this
 Derivative Action pending a ruling on the scheduled motions to dismiss in the Securities Class
 Action.
- All proceedings and deadlines in this action are stayed until further order of the Court or except as otherwise provided herein.
- 3. The Parties will file a joint status report with the Court every 120 days with an update regarding the proceedings in the Securities Class Action.
- 4. Defendants' counsel shall inform Plaintiffs' counsel of the occurrence of the ruling on the motions to dismiss in the Securities Class Action within seven (7) days of such occurrence.
- 5. Within twenty-one (21) days after the ruling on the motions to dismiss in the Securities Class Action, the Parties in this Derivative Action will meet and confer in good faith to determine a schedule for further proceedings in the Derivation Action, and will submit a proposed scheduling stipulation for this Court's review and approval.

- 6. If Defendants become aware of any other stockholder derivative proceedings initiated on behalf of AMD based on the same or a similar set of facts alleged in this Derivative Action, then the Company shall notify counsel for Plaintiffs promptly.
- 7. In the event that during the pendency of the stay the Company provides Company documents to any other AMD stockholder in connection with another stockholder derivative action on behalf of AMD based on the materially same set of facts alleged in this Derivative Action, the Company agrees to offer to provide those documents to Plaintiffs pursuant to the same confidentiality and use terms previously agreed to by the Company and such other stockholder except for use terms that expressly conflict with Plaintiffs' desire to litigate in this forum.
- 8. In the event that the Company receives a demand for the inspection of books and records of AMD based on the materially same set of facts alleged in this Derivative Action, the Company agrees to notify Plaintiffs within twenty-one (21) days.
- 9. In the event that during the pendency of the stay the Company provides Company documents to any other AMD stockholder in connection with a demand for the inspection of books and records of AMD based on the materially same set of facts alleged in this Derivative Action, the Company agrees to provide those documents to Plaintiffs pursuant to the same confidentiality and use terms previously agreed to by the Company and such other stockholder except for use terms that expressly conflict with Plaintiffs' desire to litigate in this forum.
- 10. At any time during which the Derivative Action is stayed pursuant to this Order, any party may file a motion with the Court seeking to modify the terms of the Order or lift the stay, which may be opposed by any other party.

August 10, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

ROBBINS ARROYO LLP BRIAN J. ROBBINS FELIPE J. ARROYO STEVEN R. WEDEKING

> /s/ Steven R. Wedeking STEVEN R. WEDEKING

1	600 B Street, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 525-3990
2	Facsimile: (619) 525-3991 E-mail: brobbins@robbinsarroyo.com
3	farroyo@robbinsarroyo.com swedeking@robbinsarroyo.com
4	Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
5	August 10, 2018 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
6	MATTHEW W. CLOSE
7	/s/ Matthew W. Close MATTHEW W. CLOSE
8	MATTHEW W. CLOSE
9	400 South Hope Street, 18th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071
10	Telephone: (213) 430-6000 E-mail: mclose@omm.com
11	Counsel for Defendants
12	
13	SIGNATURE ATTESTATION
14	I, Steven R. Wedeking, am the ECF user whose identification and password are being used
15	to file the foregoing Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to Stay the Action. In compliance with
16	Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been
17	obtained.
18	
19	Dated: August 10, 2018 /s/ Steven R. Wedeking
	STEVEN R. WEDEKING
20	****
21	
22	<u>ORDER</u>
23	
24	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
25	
26	DATED: August 10, 2018 Both Laten Meman
27	HON. BETH LABSON FREEMAN
28	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1282323
	-4-

STIPULATION & [PROPOSED]-ORDER TO STAY THE ACTION