

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

JAMES K. SONG, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
AARON DRENBURG,  
Defendant.

Case No. [18-cv-06283-LHK](#) (VKD)

**ORDER RE SANCTIONS**

By order dated June 19, 2019, the Court indicated its intention to require plaintiff James Song and/or his counsel to reimburse defendant Aaron Drenberg for Mr. Song's failure to comply with this Court's May 2, 2019 discovery order with respect to Mr. Drenberg's first set of requests for production of documents, absent a showing that the failure to comply was substantially justified. Dkt. No. 48. Mr. Song responded to that order principally with complaints about Mr. Drenberg's counsel's lack of cooperation in the discovery dispute resolution process. Dkt. No. 51. Mr. Song has not made a persuasive showing that his own positions were substantially justified.

In its order of October 11, 2019, the Court has once again concluded that Mr. Song failed to comply with his obligations under Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this Court's prior orders. Dkt. No. 84. As explained in the Court's October 11 order, Mr. Song's oppositions to Mr. Drenberg's motions to compel were not substantially justified, with two minor exceptions: the Court denied Mr. Drenberg's demands for the existence and location of documents outside Mr. Song's possession, custody, or control, and for better quality screenshots. *Id.*


This Court has authority under Rule 37(b)(2) to issue sanctions for failure to comply with a discovery order. *See also Chambers v. NASCO, Inc.*, 501 U.S. 32, 45 (1991) (court has inherent authority to sanction bad faith failure to comply with its orders). The Court has carefully

1 considered whether sanctions are warranted here. In addition to undermining the Court’s ability to  
2 ensure the progress of discovery in this case, Mr. Song’s failure to comply with the Court’s orders  
3 necessitated successive motions to compel by Mr. Drenberg. Mr. Song’s conduct also prejudiced  
4 Mr. Drenberg’s ability to obtain, review, and complete discovery in this case. For these reasons  
5 the Court concludes that sanctions are warranted and appropriate.

6 Accordingly, the Court awards sanctions under Rule 37(b)(2) in favor of Mr. Drenberg and  
7 jointly against Mr. Song and his counsel for failing to comply with the Court’s prior orders  
8 without substantial justification. Specifically, the Court will require Mr. Song and his counsel to  
9 reimburse Mr. Drenberg for his reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs incurred in preparing the  
10 motions to compel with respect to the first set of document requests (Dkt Nos. 39, 65, 66) as to the  
11 matters on which Mr. Drenberg prevailed. Mr. Drenberg may file a declaration or other evidence  
12 supporting the amount of attorneys’ fees and costs for which he seeks reimbursement in  
13 accordance with Civil Local Rule 37-4(b)(3) on or before **October 31, 2019**.<sup>1</sup> Mr. Song may  
14 submit a response within seven days of Mr. Drenberg’s submission. Alternatively, the parties may  
15 stipulate to the amount of attorneys’ fees and costs and so advise the Court.

16 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

17 Dated: October 11, 2019

18  
19   
20 VIRGINIA K. DEMARCHI  
21 United States Magistrate Judge  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 \_\_\_\_\_  
28 <sup>1</sup> If Mr. Drenberg wishes to rely on billing statements to the support attorneys’ fees claimed, and if those statements would reveal privileged information, he may lodge such statements with the Court for in camera review and file a notice of lodging.