Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE SUBPOENA TO INTEL CORPORATION.

Case No. 23-mc-80292-SVK

ORDER ON GLOBALFOUNDRIES' N TO COMPEL COMPLIANCE WITH SUBPOENA TO INTEL CORPORATION

Re: Dkt. No. 1

Before the Court is GlobalFoundries US, Inc.'s ("GF") motion to compel compliance with a subpoena served by GF on Intel Corporation in an underlying action pending in the Southern District of New York, Global Foundries US Inc. v. International Business Machines Corp., S.D.N.Y. Case No. 23-cv-3348. Dkt. 1. GF and Intel have consented to the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge in this subpoena dispute. Dkt. 17, 22. The Court held an in-person hearing on December 13, 2023.

As discussed at the hearing, the record before this Court raises both factual issues (e.g., the connection, if any, between "GF Controlled Trade Secrets" and the "IBM-Intel Partnership") and legal issues (e.g., the relevance, if any, of non-party Intel's actual or planned use of GF's alleged trade secrets). As a result, the Court has concerns under Rule 26 about the relevance and proportionality of the information sought by the subpoena, and GF has not demonstrated substantial need under Rule 45 for the confidential information sought from Intel.

Accordingly, GF's motion to compel compliance with the subpoena to Intel is **DENIED** and the subpoena is **QUASHED**. This ruling is **WITHOUT PREJUDICE** to GF's ability to serve a new subpoena on Intel. If a motion concerning a new subpoena to Intel comes before this Court, the Court will continue to evaluate the dispute under Rule 45(f) to determine whether transfer to the Southern District of New York is appropriate.

United States District Court Northern District of California

Good cause appearing, the	administrative motions for leave to file under seal at Dkt. 16,
24, 28, and 32 are GRANTED . <i>Se</i>	ee Kamakana v. City & Cnty. Of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172,
1178-79 (9th Cir. 2006).	
SO ORDERED.	
Dated: December 13, 2023	
	Susan Van Keulen United States Magistrate Judge