1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	DIMITRY BORIGA,	Case No. 24-cv-03500-PCP
8	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
9	v.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
10	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,	
11	Defendant.	
12		
13	After his initial complaint was dismissed, plaintiff Dimitry Boriga was ordered to file any	
14	amended complaint by no later than September 18, 2024. Dkt. No. 13. Boriga did not file an	
15	amended complaint by that date.	
16	Pursuant to Rule 41(b), a district court may sua sponte dismiss an action for failure to	
17	prosecute or comply with a court order. See Link v. Wabash R.R., 370 U.S. 626, 633 (1962);	
18	McKeever v. Block, 932 F.2d 795, 797 (9th Cir. 1991). But such a dismissal should only be	
19	ordered when the failure to comply is unreasonable. See id. A district court should afford the	
20	litigant prior notice of its intention to dismiss. See Malone v. United States Postal Serv., 833 F.2d	
21	128, 133 (9th Cir. 1987). Boriga is therefore ordered to show cause why this action should not be	
22	dismissed for failure to prosecute. Boriga's response or amended complaint must be filed within	
23	21 days of this Order.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: September 25, 2024	
26		nr n.
27		aly han
28		P. Casey Pitts United States District Judge

United States District Court Northern District of California