-AJB Hilderman	et al v. Enea Teksci Inc	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	VANCE HILDERMAN, an individual; HIGHRELY, INC., a Delaware corporation,) Civil Case No. 05CV1049-BTM (AJB)
12) [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
13	Plaintiff,) JOINT MOTION TO DISMISS ENTIRE) ACTION WITH RESERVATION OF
14	ENEA TEKSCI, INC. dba ENEA) JURISDICTION TO ENFORCE) SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
15	EMBEDDED TECHNOLOGY,	,)
16	Defendants.) Judge: Hon. Barry Ted Moskowitz)
17	AND RELATED COUNTER CLAIMS	<u>)</u>)
18))
19		
20 21	The parties have jointly requested that the Court dismiss the entire action with prejudice,	
22	while reserving jurisdiction to enforce the settlement agreement between the parties. The	
23	parties state that the entire case has been settled pursuant to a Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release with an effective date of June 18, 2010 ("Settlement Agreement"). Parties to an action	
24	may request that the court retain jurisdiction to enforce a settlement agreement. See Hagestad v.	
25	Tragesser, 49 F.3d 1430 (9th Cir. 1995). Since it would be economical for this Court to retain	
26	jurisdiction so that a breach of the Settlement Agreement would not require a new litigation, the	
27	Court retains jurisdiction to enforce the Settlement Agreement. All parties are ordered to	
28	comply with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.	
	- 1 –	
	Order Granting Joint Motion to Dismiss 1041824v1	

Doc. 175

Upon the Agreement of all parties, the entire action is dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41 (a)(2). Each party shall pay its own costs and attorneys fees. SO ORDERED. DATED: June 28, 2010