

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

SONY ELECTRONICS, INC.,
Plaintiff,
vs.
GUARDIAN MEDIA
TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.,
Defendant.

CIV. NO. 05-CV-1777-B (AJB)
ORDER SETTING BRIEFING
SCHEDULE FOLLOWING
REMAND AND
CONSOLIDATING NEWLY-
FILED COMPLAINT

MITSUBISHI DIGITAL
ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
Plaintiff,
vs.
GUARDIAN MEDIA
TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.,
Defendant.

CIV. NO. 05-CV-1780-B (AJB)

MATSUSHITA ELECTRONIC
INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD., et al.
Plaintiffs,
vs.
GUARDIAN MEDIA
TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.,
Defendant.

CIV. NO. 05-CV-1786-B (AJB)

THOMSON, INC.,
Plaintiff,
vs.
GUARDIAN MEDIA
TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.,
Defendant.

CIV. NO. 07-CV-1613-B (AJB)

1 On September 17, 2007, the Court spread the mandate of the Federal Circuit Court of
2 Appeals vacating this Court's March 16, 2006 Order of dismissal and remanding these
3 consolidated patent cases for reconsideration of whether to exercise discretion to entertain
4 Plaintiffs' declaratory relief suits. *Sony Elec., Inc. v. Guardian Media Tech., Ltd.*, No. 2006-
5 1363, 2007 WL 2215762 (Fed. Cir. Aug. 3, 2007).


6 Plaintiff Thomson did not join the appeal of the Court's March 16, 2006 Order;
7 however, it did file a new declaratory relief action on August 14, 2007. Defendant Guardian
8 filed a notice of related case. After the hearing, the Court signed a low-number transfer
9 order. The Court, on its own motion, orders the Clerk to consolidate 07-cv-1613 with 05-cv-
10 1777.

11 As the Court explained at the hearing, the Court will hear Defendant Guardian's re-
12 newed motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction on **Wednesday, October 17,**
13 **2007 at 9:00 a.m.** Defendant Guardian filed the motion to dismiss, and in the alternative
14 moves to transfer, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), the consolidated cases to the Central
15 District of California, where Guardian has filed a patent infringement case on the instant
16 patents against another manufacturer. Plaintiffs shall file their opposition briefs on or before
17 **October 3, 2007**, and any reply brief shall be filed on or before **October 10, 2007.**

18 Plaintiffs have indicated that they will file a motion to stay the cases pending the
19 completion of the re-examination process by the Patent and Trademark Office. This motion
20 shall be heard at a later time in the event Defendant's motion to dismiss and transfer are
21 denied.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 DATED: September 20, 2007

24 
25 Hon. Rudi M. Brewster
26 United States Senior District Judge

27 cc: All Parties
28 Magistrate Judge Battaglia