1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	LEONARD MOORE, JR.	CASE NO. 06cv1782 JM(CAB)
12	Petitioner,	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
13	vs. MATTHEW CATE, Secretary of the	RECOMMENDATION
14	California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation,	
15	Respondent.	
16	On September 8, 2008 Magistrate Judge Bencivengo entered a Report and	
17	Recommendation recommending the denial of the petition for writ of habeas corpus ("R & R"). The	
18	R & R, expressly incorporated herein, thoroughly and thoughtfully analyzed Petitioner's claims and	
19	recommended denying the petition for writ of habeas corpus. Petitioner has filed objections to the R	
20	& R ("Objections"). Respondent did not file a reply to the Objections.	
21	In his Objections, Petitioner raises no persuasive argument. The overwhelming thrust of	
22	Petitioner's Objections is that the analysis of his claims by the California Court of Appeal was not	
23	objectively reasonable and did not constitute har	rmless error under <u>Brecht v. Abrahamson</u> , 507 U.S.
24	619 (1993). The R & R thoroughly discussed and analyzed the five alleged claims. Instead of	
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
	-	1 - 2006cv1782

1	responding to Petitioner's arguments a second time, the court adopts the R & R in its entirety. The	
2	petition for habeas corpus is denied with prejudice.	
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
4	DATED: March 4, 2009 $(1, 1)$	
5	DATED: March 4, 2009	
6	Hop. Jeffrey T. Miller United States District Judge	
7	cc: all parties	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		