Yoon v. Gervin et al Doc. 2

FILED

2006 OCT -5 PM 2: 27

CLERK US DISTALL FOLLAT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BY DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TAEK SANG YOON CDC #P-67861, Plaintiff, vs.

order:

Civil No.

(1) DISMISSING CIVIL ACTION FOR FAILING TO PAY FILING FEE OR MOVE TO PROCEED *IN* FORMA PAUPERIS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a); and

06-2107 BEN (NLS)

(2) DISMISSING CIVIL ACTION AS DUPLICATIVE PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1)

A.B. GERVIN, et al.,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendants.

Plaintiff, an inmate currently incarcerated at the California Rehabilitation Center in Norco, California, and proceeding prose, has filed a civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

I. Failure to Pay Filing Fee or Request IFP Status

Effective April 9, 2006, all parties instituting any civil action, suit or proceeding in a district court of the United States, other than a writ of habeas corpus, must pay a filing fee of \$350. See 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). An action may proceed despite a party's failure to pay only if the party is granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP") pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). See Rodriguez v. Cook, 169 F.3d 1176, 1177 (9th Cir. 1999).

Here, Plaintiff has neither prepaid the \$350 filing fee required to commence this action,

nor has he submitted a Motion to Proceed IFP. Therefore, this action is subject to immediate dismissal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a).

II. Sua Sponte Screening Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)

The Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"), 28 U.S.C. § 1915A obligates the Court to review complaints filed by all persons "incarcerated or detained in any facility who is accused of, sentenced for, or adjudicated delinquent for, violations of criminal law or the terms or conditions of parole, probation, pretrial release, or diversionary program," "as soon as practicable after docketing" and regardless of whether the prisoner prepays filing fees or moves to proceed IFP. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a), (c). The Court must sua sponte dismiss complaints, or any portions thereof, which are frivolous, malicious, or fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b); Resnick v. Hayes, 213 F.3d 443, 446-47 (9th Cir. 2000).

Plaintiff's instant Complaint is subject to sua sponte dismissal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1) because it is duplicative of civil rights action he is already litigating. The Complaint filed by Plaintiff in Sang v. Lopez, et al., S.D. Cal. Civil Case No. 06cv0459 W (BLM) contains identical claims against the same defendants named in this action. A court "may take notice of proceedings in other courts, both within and without the federal judicial system, if those proceedings have a direct relation to matters at issue." United States ex rel. Robinson Rancheria Citizens Council v. Borneo, Inc., 971 F.2d 244, 248 (9th Cir. 1992).

A complaint is considered frivolous under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1) if it "merely repeats pending or previously litigated claims." *Cato v. United States*, 70 F.3d 1103, 1105 n.2 (9th Cir. 1995) (construing former 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d)) (citations and internal quotations omitted). Thus, because a review of the Court's docket reveals that Plaintiff is already litigating the same claims presented in the instant action in *Sang v. Lopez*, *et al.*, S.D. Cal. Civil Case No. 06cv0459 W (BLM), the Court hereby **DISMISSES** Civil Case No. 06cv2107 BEN (NLS) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1). *See Cato*, 70 F.3d at 1105 n.2; *Resnick*, 213 F.3d at 446 n.1.

////

////

III. Conclusion and Order

For the reasons set forth above, the Court hereby:

(1) **DISMISSES** this action sua sponte without prejudice for failing to pay the \$350 filing fee or file a Motion to Proceed IFP pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914(a) and 1915(a); and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

(2) Plaintiff's Complaint in Civil Case No. 06cv2107 BEN (NLS) is **DISMISSED** as frivolous pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1).

The Clerk shall close the file.

IT IS SO OXDEXED.

DATED: /C

ON ROGER T. BENITEZ

United States District Judge