(Case 3:06-cv-02388-LAB-BLM	Document 5	Filed 12/11/2006	Page 1 of 4	
1					
2					
-3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	JAMES LIMA,		Civil No. 06-238	8 LAB (BLM)	
12		Petitioner,			
13	v.		ORDER REOPEN		
14	M. C. KRAMER, Warden,		SETTING BRIEF	ING SCHEDULE	
15		Respondent.			
16	On October 27, 2006, Petitioner, proceeding pro se, submitted a Petition for Writ of				
17	Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 along with a request to proceed in forma pauperis.				
18	In its November 15, 2006 Order, the Court granting Petitioner's request to proceed in				
19	forma pauperis but dismissed this case without prejudice because Petitioner failed to state a				
20	cognizable federal claim. Petitioner was instructed that to have this case reopened he had to file				
21	a First Amended Petition no later than December 30, 2006.				
22	On December 1, 2006, Petitioner filed a First Amended Petition pursuant to this Court's				
23	Order. Based on this Court's review of the First Amended Petition, the Court ORDERS that				
24	this case be reopened. Further, in accordance with Rule 4 of the rules governing petitions for				
25	a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, and upon a preliminary review of the First				
26	Amended Petition, IT IS ORDERED that:				
27					
28					
		12116 -1			
	K:\COMMON\Major\CASES\Lima v. Kramer (habe)\order reopening case and setting schedule.wpd,	12116 -	L -		

1. The Clerk of this Court shall promptly (a) serve a copy of the Petition and a copy 1 2 of this Order on the Attorney General for the State of California, or his authorized agent; and (b) serve a copy of this Order on Petitioner.

3

2. If Respondent contends the Petition can be decided without the Court's reaching 4 5 the merits of Petitioner's claims (e.g., because Respondent contends Petitioner has failed to exhaust any state remedies as to any ground for relief alleged in the Petition, or that the Petition 6 7 is barred by the statute of limitations, or that the Petition is subject to dismissal under Rule 9 of 8 the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases, or that all of the claims are procedurally defaulted, or that Petitioner is not in custody), Respondent shall file a motion to dismiss pursuant to Rule 4 of the 9 Rules Governing § 2254 Cases no later than January 26, 2007. The motion to dismiss shall not 10 address the merits of Petitioner's claims, but rather shall address all grounds upon which 11 12 Respondent contends dismissal without reaching the merits of Petitioner's claims is warranted.¹ 13 At the time the motion to dismiss is filed, Respondent shall lodge with the Court all records bearing on Respondent's contention in this regard. A hearing date is not required for the motion 14 to dismiss. 15

3. 16 If Respondent files a motion to dismiss, Petitioner shall file his opposition, if any, to the motion no later than February 20, 2007. At the time the opposition is filed, Petitioner 17 18 shall lodge with the Court any records not lodged by Respondent which Petitioner believes may be relevant to the Court's determination of the motion. 19

20 4. Unless the Court orders otherwise, Respondent shall not file a reply to Petitioner's 21 opposition to a motion to dismiss. If the motion is denied, the Court will afford Respondent adequate time to respond to Petitioner's claims on the merits. 22

- 23 ///
- 24 111
- 25 ///
- 26 111
- 27

28

¹ If Respondent contends Petitioner has failed to exhaust any state remedies as to any ground for relief alleged in the Petition, the motion to dismiss shall also specify the state remedies still available to Petitioner.

5. If Respondent does not contend that the Petition can be decided without the Court 1 2 reaching the merits of Petitioner's claims, Respondent shall file and serve an answer to the 3 Petition, as well as points and authorities in support of such answer, no later than February 6, **2007**. At the time the answer is filed, Respondent shall lodge with the Court all records bearing 4 5 on the merits of Petitioner's claims. The lodgments shall be accompanied by a notice of 6 lodgment which shall be captioned "Notice of Lodgment in 28 U.S.C. § 2254 Habeas Corpus 7 <u>Case — To Be Sent to Clerk's Office</u>." Respondent shall not combine separate pleadings, 8 orders or other items into a combined lodgment entry. Each item shall be numbered separately and sequentially. 9

6. Petitioner may file a traverse to matters raised in the answer no later than <u>March</u>
 9, 2007. Any traverse by Petitioner (a) shall state whether Petitioner admits or denies each
 allegation of fact contained in the answer; (b) shall be limited to facts or arguments responsive
 to matters raised in the answer; and (c) shall not raise new grounds for relief that were not
 asserted in the Petition. Grounds for relief withheld until the traverse will not be considered.
 No traverse shall exceed ten (10) pages in length absent advance leave of Court for good cause
 shown.

7. A request by a party for an extension of time within which to file any of the
pleadings required by this Order should be made in advance of the due date of the pleading, and
the Court will grant such a request only upon a showing of good cause. Any such request shall
be accompanied by a declaration under penalty of perjury explaining why an extension of time
is necessary.

8. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, this case shall be deemed submitted on the
day following the date Petitioner's opposition to a motion to dismiss and/or his traverse is due.

9. Every document delivered to the Court must include a certificate of service
attesting that a copy of such document was served on opposing counsel (or on the opposing
party, if such party is not represented by counsel). Any document delivered to the Court without
a certificate of service will be returned to the submitting party and disregarded by the Court.

28

K:\COMMON\Maior\CASES\Lima v. Kramer (habe)\order reopening case and setting schedule.wpd, 12116

1	10. Petitioner shall immediately notify the Court and counsel for Respondent of any				
2	change of Petitioner's address. If Petitioner fails to keep the Court informed of where Petitioner				
3	may be contacted, this action will be subject to dismissal for failure to prosecute.				
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
5	DATED: December 11, 2006				
6	Burband Major				
7	BARBARA L. MAJOR United States Magistrate Judge				
8	Child States Magistrate Judge				
9					
10	Copies to: ALL PARTIES				
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18 19					
19 20					
20					
21					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	K:ICOMMON/Major/CASE/SLima v. Kramer (habe)/order reopening case and setting schedule.wpd, 12116 -4-				