Larsen v. Dumanis et al

nanis	et al				D
	Case 3:07-cv-00028-JM-BLM	Document 5	Filed 01/22/2007	Page 1 of 3	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	CLIFFORD C. LARSEN,			CV 0028 JM (BL)	M)
12	VS.	Plaintiff,	ORDER (1) GI MOTION TO	PROCEED IN	
13			FORMA PAUPERIS, (2) DISMISSING COMPLAINT ON		DN
14	BONNIE DUMANIS, District Attorney of San Diego County; SHONTEL, District Attorney of El Cajon East County; SHERRY HARRIS, paralegal to Shontel; CITY OF LA MESA; LA MESA POLICE		YOUNGER ABSTENTION GROUNDS, AND (3) DENYING MOTION FOR INJUNCTION		
15	SHONTEL, District Attorn Cajon East County; SHERE	ey of El XY	MOTION FOR INJUNCTION		
16 17	HARRIS, paralegal to Shor OF LA MESA; LA MESA	ntel; CITY POLICE			
17	DEPARTMENT; RUSSEL LOCKWOOD; and JOHN	DOE,			
10		Defendants.			
20	On January 3, 2007, plaintiff Clifford C. Larsen ("Plaintiff"), a non-prisoner				
21	proceeding pro se and currently residing in Rochester, New York, filed this complaint				
22	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against defendants. Plaintiff also moves to proceed in				
23	forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Upon review of Plaintiff's declaration				
24	listing his income, assets, and debt, the court concludes Plaintiff is unable to pay the				
25	filing fee. Plaintiff has been unemployed since February 2005, has no assets or income				
26	from any source, and owes student loans in the amount of \$15,000. Accordingly, the				
27	court GRANTS the motion to proceed in forma pauperis.				
28	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii), however, the court may sua sponte				

07cv0028

Doc. 5

dismiss the action if the complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be
granted.

3 The underlying facts summarized herein are taken from the complaint. In February 2006, Plaintiff was arrested at his San Diego county apartment by local police 4 in connection with reports of a household disturbance. Plaintiff was thereafter 5 criminally charged and given a trial date of June 12, 2006. Plaintiff did not appear for 6 7 his trial and instead traveled to upstate New York where his family lives. In October 8 2006, Plaintiff was arrested in New York by United States Marshals on a fugitive 9 warrant. Plaintiff is currently out of custody on bail and is in New York awaiting extradition to California. 10

Plaintiff's requested relief are damages and injunction preventing district attorney 11 12 Bonnie Dumanis, district attorney Shontel, and the La Mesa, California police 13 department "from seeking governors warrant by $\frac{1}{22}/07$." Comp. at 7. It is clear from the complaint that Plaintiff is seeking to enjoin his extradition and his pending 14 prosecution in California. Federal courts, however, will not enjoin pending state 15 criminal prosecutions except under extraordinary circumstances, such as when there is 16 an immediate threat to a plaintiff's federally protected rights that cannot be eliminated 17 by his defense against a single prosecution. Younger v. Harris, 401 U.S. 37 (1971). The 18 present complaint does not evidence any such extraordinary circumstances warranting 19 deviation from the Younger rule. 20

- 21 //
- 22 //
- 23 //
- 24 //
- 25 //
- 26 //
- 27 //
- 28 //

Accordingly, the court **DISMISSES** the complaint for failure to state a claim
upon which relief may be granted. Plaintiff has also submitted to the court a separate
"Motion to request injunction by 1/22/07 and prayer for relief under 42 U.S.C. 1983",
which has not yet been filed with the clerk. The clerk is **ORDERED** to file this
separate motion. The court **DENIES** the separate motion for injunctive relief for the
same reasons the complaint is being dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 22, 2007

/Hon. Jeffrey T. Miller United States District Judge

1 cc: All Parties