

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

2007 MAY 29 *M. Burns*
Clerk's Office File Stamp

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Burns
FROM: L Odierno, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: RM 5/21/07
CASE NO.: 07cv0460-LAB-POR DOCUMENT FILED BY: Shahrokh Saadat-Nejad

CASE TITLE: Pacific Law Center et al v. Saadat-Nejad

DOCUMENT ENTITLED: ~~Answer~~ Constructed as: Request for Relief From Default

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>OTHER: Clerk's Default Entered</u>

Date forwarded: 5/21/07

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: Filing Constructed as Request for Relief From Default

- The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.
- Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules ~~apply to~~ **apply to** pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

CHAMBERS OF: _____

Dated: 5-24-07
cc: All Parties

By: Shah Law Clerk