


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Shahrokh Saadat-Nejad
Mail: 3713 Mount Ashmun Place
San Diego, California 92111
Telephone: (646)225-8213

FILED
2007 JUL -6 PM 2: 15
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY  DEPUTY

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

SOLOMON WARD
SEIDENWURM & SMITH,
and PACIFIC LAW CENTER
(Plaintiffs,)

CASE No. 3:2007cv00460

**RESPONSE TO ALLEGED
CONTEMPT**

vs

Court Room: 9
Date: JULY 12, 2007
Time: 12:00pm

SHAHROKH
SAADAT-NEJAD
(Defendatnt).

Judge: Larry Alan Burns

-
1. There is ongoing fraud in this court room with the knowledge of the court.
 2. On May 25, 2007 I went to the office of Mr. Frank J. Rangus and paid for a copy of the alleged court transcript for April 26, 2007 in which I had ordered on April 26, 2007. At minimum there are several statements from the hearing that are not on the alleged transcript. Please see Exhibit

1 six Page twelve of the plaintiffs manufactured evidence filed by the
2
3 plaintiffs on June 20, 2007.
4

5 3. I am asking here on record for a copy of audio tapes of This, Past and
6
7 Future Hearings regarding this matter.
8

9 4. PacificLawyersCenter.com and PacificLawyerCenter.com is NOT
10
11 violating any laws. Plaintiffs alleged trademark name is NOT "Pacific
12
13 Lawyer Center" with or without a "S" at the end of the word Lawyer.

14 5. I intent to register "Pacific Lawyers Center" as my trademark service
15
16 name. No person owns the word "CENTER", "LAWYERS" or
17
18 "PACIFIC".

19 6. Again the plaintiffs have mislead the court and filed Manufactured
20
21 Exhibits such as "cut and paste" portions of URL addresses of BLOGS.

22 7. Most if not all of my blogs end with a ".ASPX" which is never sold to
23
24 the public or registered to any person(s). For example I own and operate
25
26 the blog address of <http://usgovernmentjudges.com> and if one is to visit
27
28 that blog they would read the blog address with subject and/or title of the
topic with a date stamp within the full URL address of the topic that the

1 viewer chooses. There is a blog address of

2 <http://usgovernmentjudges.com/2005/05/13/solomonwardattorneysseiden>
3 wurmsmithpacificlawcenterlawyers.aspx

4 and this issue with URL address after the .com was brought up in this
5
6 court at the last hearing by me.

7
8 8. The plaintiffs have again manufactured evidences and have filed them
9
10 on record. For example NONE of my websites or blogs have a picture of
11 this courts and the plaintiffs friend "ORLY LOBEL" so large to stand out
12 from other pictures on the page(s). I have NOT emphasized Orly Lobels
13 picture. As I had told the court before that the plaintiffs are playing
14 psychological games to cover up their crimes. On some of my web
15 pages there are pictures of just about all old men (Lawyers, Judges), and
16 then there is a picture of a hot looking young blonde female and the
17 plaintiffs are trying to suggest that I am suggesting sex because the
18 picture of the female stands out due to its size. I am not stupid. This court
19 must not be that stupid either even though in my eyes this court is corrupt.
20
21 The Plaintiffs have enlarged "ORLY LOBELS" picture and filed it here
22 in court because it is the plaintiffs themselves that are sick perverts.
23
24
25
26

27
28 9. This court must provide a computer with internet access at a set
hearing and video taped. I personally can come to this court hearing or

1 another set court hearing early to disconnect a computer from a secretary
2 or the judges office and reconnect the computer in the court room with
3 internet access. I will place the computer back after the court hearing
4 should the court wish so.
5
6

7
8 10. It appears to me that the plaintiffs do not want to go to trial in this
9 matter or the State of California Court matter which the plaintiffs are
10 seeking money for the same allegations as this court. Only in America
11 can you sue a person for the same allegation(s) two times in a court at the
12 same time. How can any respectable judge not see this as abuse is beyond
13 me.
14
15

16
17 11. Judge Richard E.L. Strauss of the Superior Court of California in
18 San Diego County Civil Case No. GIC878352 has signed a court order
19 off court record, with no court reporter, without the defendant having
20 been served with the law suite, and without the defendant being present
21 in court that the defendant shall not use the words "Pacific, Law, and
22 Center" in ANY PUBLISHED COMMUNICATION.
23
24
25


26
27 12. On September 18, 2006 Pacific Law Center went to a San Diego,
28 California court and waived my rights to appear in two criminal

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

cases and got a continuance for both criminal cases while I the defendant was in Jail AFTER Pacific Law Center was fired on September 8, 2006.

13. On July 25, 2006 the San Diego Police Department took away my Passport (Islamic Republic of Iran) and \$9800.00 cash away from me. On March 28, 2007 the San Diego District Attorneys Office acknowledged that the San Diego Police Department has my Passport and \$9800.00 cash so I got a court order as part of a deal to get the passport and cash back and now the San Diego Police Department says that they do not have my passport and/or cash but another agency may. I want my passport and cash back. Police, Sheriff's, County Courts, Military, State Courts, or the U.S. District Courts are all from one government. Pointing fingers at each other or filing multiple cases against me (Criminal or Civil) will not keep me silent.

Date: JULY 6, 2007


Shahrokh Saadat-Nejad
Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SOLOMON WARD SEIDENWURM
& SMITH, and PACIFIC
LAW CENTER

Plaintiff

vs.

SHAHROKH
SAADAT-NEJAD

Defendant

NO. 3:2007CV00460

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

Person served:

(EDWARD MCINTYRE)
SOLOMON WARD SEIDENWURM & SMITH
LAW FIRM FRONT DESK

Date served: 401 B STREET #1200
SAN DIEGO CA 92101
619-231-0303

JULY 6, 2007

I, The undersigned declare under penalty of perjury that I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to this action; that I served the above named person the following documents:

RESPONSE TO ALLEGED CONTEMPT

In the following manner: (check one below)

- 1) By personally delivering copies to the person served.
- 2) By leaving, during usual office hours, copies in the office of the person served with the who apparently was in charge and thereafter mailing (by first-class mail, postage prepaid) copies to the person served at the place where the copies were left.
- 3) By leaving copies at the dwelling house, usual place of abode, or usual place of business of the person served in the presence of a competent member of the household or a person apparently in charge of his/her office or place of business, at least 18 years of age, who was informed of the general nature of the papers, and thereafter mailing (by first-class mail, postage prepaid) copies to the person served at the place where the copies were left.
- 4) By placing a copy in a separate envelope, with postage fully prepaid, for each address named below and depositing each in the U.S. Mails at San Diego, Ca on JULY 6, 2007

Executed on JULY 6, 2007 at San Diego, California

Shahrokh Saadat-Nejad