

P 57122.002 (4)

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

CASE NUMBER GIC 878352	COMPLAINT DATE	HEARING DATE 3/22/07	HEARING TIME 9 AM	DEPT 75	FOR COURT USE ONLY FILED Clerk of the Superior Court MAR 22 2007 By: M. WONG-JIMENEZ, Deputy
JUDGE R. Strauss	CLERK M. Wong Jimenez		CSR #		
REPORTER Not Reported					
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER Pacific Law Center	ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER <i>[Signature]</i>				
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT Saadatnejad	ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT <i>[Signature]</i>				

COUNSEL FOR MOVING PARTY MUST FILL IN TOP PORTION, EXCLUDING NAMES OF JUDGE, CLERK AND REPORTER. ALL COUNSEL MUST PRINT NAMES IN SPACE OPPOSITE THE NAME OF THE PARTY THEY REPRESENT.

EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR: Request to Set a Mtn Hrg Date to Dismiss Case
MOVING PARTY: Defendant in pro per

ORDER BY COURT GRANTED DENIED ORDER SHORTENING TIME IS GRANTED DENIED
 MOTION TO/FOR _____ IS SET ON /CONT. TO _____ AT _____ AM / PM

MOVING PAPERS TO BE FILED AND FAXED/PERSONALLY SERVED BY _____
OPPOSITION TO BE FILED AND FAXED/PERSONALLY SERVED BY _____ REPLY _____

- TENTATIVE RULING / APPEARANCE NECESSARY
- TRIAL DATE CONTINUED TO/SET ON: _____ AT _____ AM / PM ON MOTION OF PLT / DFT BY COURT
- TRIAL CONTINUANCE FEE DUE BY _____ PAID [] NOT PAID []
- TRIAL READINESS CONFERENCE CONTINUED TO: _____ AT _____ AM / PM ON MOTION OF PLT / DFT BY COURT
- CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE CONTINUED TO /SET FOR _____ AT _____ AM / PM CASE DESIGNATED EXCEPTIONAL
- 1ST EXCHANGE OF EXPERTS _____ 2ND EXCHANGE OF EXPERTS _____ ALL DEADLINES REMAIN AS SET
- MOTION/DISCOVERY/POSTING OF JURY FEES DATE EXT/CONT TO _____ NON JURY/JURY REQUESTED BY PLT/DFT EST. LENGTH OF TRIAL _____ DAYS
- VACATE ALL HEARING DATES ORDERED TO ARB./MED. TO BE COMPLETED BY _____ REMOVED FROM ARB./MED. SET ON 45 DAY DISMISSAL CALENDAR
- LEAD CASE NO. _____ CONSOLIDATED WITH _____
- COURT ORDERS A STAY OF EVICTION UNTIL _____
- SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE WITH JUDGE _____ COUNSEL ARE TO CALL FOR A DATE WITHIN THE NEXT _____ DAYS

OTHER - Court having read and considered the defendant's opposed. Ex parte application hears argument from both sides. Court rules that Ms. Saadatnejad may file a noticed motion regarding clarification of order and the Court will make a ruling at that time.

DATED: 3/22/07 RICHARD E. L. STRAUSS
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT