
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES



			DEPUT	
TO:	U. S. DISTRIC	CT JUDGE / 🗖 U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE	Janis L. Sammartino	
FROM: E. Lloyd, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 7/25/08				
CAS	SE NO.: <u>07cv460-</u>	JLS (POR) DOCUMENT FILED BY:	Shahrokh Saadat-Nejad	
CASE TITLE: Pacific Law Center et al v. Saadat-Nejad				
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Declaration of Defendant Shahrokh Saadat-Nejad				
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:				
✓ I	Local Rule	Discrepancy		
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or support	ing documentation	
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper		
	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.			
	7.1 or 47.1	.1 or 47.1 Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely		
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities	in support as a separate document	
	7.1 or 47.1	.1 or 47.1 Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions		
	7.1	Missing table of contents		
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself		
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order		
X		Supplemental documents require court order		
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest		
		OTHER:		
			te forwarded: <u>7/25/08</u>	
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:				
7	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.			
_	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.			
	Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers			
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1 CHAMBERS OF:			
	Dated: $\frac{7}{\text{cc: All Parties}}$	31/08 By:	Saumentiero	