

**EXHIBIT D-1**

MDL-1845 (Continued)

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007 Page 2 of 28

Western District of Missouri

*Brian Cox, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc.*, C.A. No. 5:07-6027

Western District of New York

*Mark Avalone, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc.*, C.A. No. 6:07-6084

Eastern District of Oklahoma

*Irene Clandord v. ConAgra Foods, Inc.*, C.A. No. 6:07-56

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

*Lucille A. Knight v. ConAgra Foods, Inc.*, C.A. No. 2:07-818

Middle District of Pennsylvania

*Kathleen Nieves, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc.*, C.A. No. 3:07-327

District of South Carolina

*Annie Blackwell v. ConAgra Foods, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:07-529

*Thomas B. Price v. ConAgra Foods, Inc.*, C.A. No. 3:07-536

*Jerry Shawn Medford v. ConAgra Foods, Inc.*, C.A. No. 3:07-611

*Jamie S. Jeffords v. ConAgra Foods, Inc.*, C.A. No. 4:07-530

*Jennifer Ann Hoey, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc.*, C.A. No. 7:07-544

Eastern District of Tennessee

*Cynthia Woodlee, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc.*, C.A. No. 4:07-15

Western District of Washington

*James Winston Daniels, II, et al. v. ConAgra, Inc.*, C.A. No. 2:07-259

000501

MDL-1846 -- In re Trade Partners, Inc., Investors Litigation

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007

Page 3 of 28

Motion of defendants Macatawa Bank Corp. and Macatawa Bank for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma:

Central District of California

*James Lee, et al. v. Macatawa Bank Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:06-8009

Western District of Michigan

*Forrest W. Jenkins, et al. v. Macatawa Bank Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 1:03-321

Western District of Oklahoma

*Steven M. Adamson, et al. v. Macatawa Bank Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 5:06-1267

*Eddie Elkins, et al. v. Macatawa Bank Corp.*, C.A. No. 5:07-109

Northern District of Texas

*Frank V. Bailey, et al. v. Macatawa Bank Corp.*, C.A. No. 3:06-2193

MDL-1847 -- In re Tayssoun Transportation, Inc., and Dallas & Mavis Specialized Carrier Co., LLC, Contract Litigation

Motion of plaintiff Tayssoun Transportation, Inc., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas:

Northern District of Texas

*Dallas & Mavis Specialized Carrier Co., LLC v. Pacific Motor Transport Co., et al.*,  
C.A. No. 3:06-1922

Southern District of Texas

*Tayssoun Transportation, Inc. v. Dallas & Mavis Specialized Carrier Co., LLC*,  
C.A. No. 4:06-3463

000502

MDL-1848 -- In re Rembrandt Technologies, LP, Patent Litigation

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007

Page 4 of 28

Motion of defendant CoxCom, Inc., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware:

District of Delaware

*Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Cablevision Systems Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 1:06-635  
*Coxcom, Inc. v. Rembrandt Technologies, LP*, C.A. No. 1:06-721  
*Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. CBS Corp.*, C.A. No. 1:06-727  
*Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. NBC Universal, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-729  
*Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. ABC, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-730  
*Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Fox Entertainment Group, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 1:06-731

Southern District of New York

*Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Adelphia Communications Corp., et al.*,  
Bky. Advy. No. 1:06-1739  
*Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Adelphia Communications Corp.*, C.A. No. 1:07-214

Eastern District of Texas

*Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Comcast Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:05-443  
*Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Sharp Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:06-47  
*Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Charter Communications, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:06-223  
*Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Time Warner Cable, Inc.*, C.A. No. 2:06-224  
*Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Time Warner Cable, Inc.*, C.A. No. 2:06-369  
*Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Comcast Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:06-506  
*Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Charter Communications, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:06-507

MDL-1849 -- In re C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., Overtime Pay Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

Northern District of Alabama

*Donna Eddy, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 2:06-4926

000503

MDL-1849 (Continued)

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007 Page 5 of 28

Central District of California

*Mimi Vuong v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 2:07-1428  
*Nancy Austin, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 8:07-301

Eastern District of California

*Gladys Garcia, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:07-157

Northern District of California

*Kimberly Elam, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 4:07-475

Northern District of Georgia

*Terri Kuvach, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:07-328

Northern District of Illinois

*Evelyn Sparks, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6461  
*Cheryl D. Braithwaite, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6462  
*Lynn A. Amorose, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6463  
*Angela L. Jacobson v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6465  
*Scott D. Hyder, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6503  
*Anne K. Ciaglia, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6507  
*Joann M. McGill, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6510  
*Richard Cahn, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6512  
*Jason K. Bergquist, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6515  
*Craig Bowen, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6516  
*Christopher L. Sims, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6520  
*Shannon D. Anderson, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6527  
*Nora Hageman, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6530  
*Brenda Mitchell, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6538  
*Lynette DiNova, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6545  
*Joanna Elke, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6552

000504

MDL-1849 (Continued)

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007 Page 6 of 28

Northern District of Illinois (Continued)

*Roy Rogenic, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6553  
*LaToya R. McCants, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6556  
*Michael Blackburn v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6563  
*Sharon K. Dodson-McDonald, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*,  
C.A. No. 1:06-6564  
*Patricia A. Parrish, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6568  
*Brendan M. Clarke, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6581  
*Amber Vandersommen, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6582  
*Leslie Nemelka, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6623  
*Kari S. Johnson, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6629  
*Ariel B. Crotty, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6630  
*Wendy L. Ferger, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6632  
*Laura J. Jeneault, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6634  
*Heidi Michelle Poepsel v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:06-6664

Southern District of Indiana

*Catherine A. Wilcox v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 3:06-197

District of Kansas

*Kimberly K. Bethel, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 2:07-2129  
*Lisa M. Quigley, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 6:07-1063

Eastern District of Louisiana

*Morgan J. Wood, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 2:07-1269

Eastern District of Michigan

*Carla M. Strugala v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 5:07-10767

000505

MDL-1849 (Continued)

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007 Page 7 of 28

District of Minnesota

*Jamie L. Benner, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:06-4640  
*Justin Accola, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:06-4643  
*Jeffrey Cichosz, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:06-4644  
*William F. Holmberg, Jr. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:06-4645  
*Elizabeth Marianne Buck, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:06-4646  
*Penny M. Cantazaro, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:06-4647  
*Kathy Cota, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:06-4648  
*Jill K. East, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:06-4649  
*Kathleen L. Hambleton, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:06-4650  
*Elizabeth Hopp v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:06-4651  
*Joann F. Larson v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:06-4652  
*Kelley S. Lyons, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:06-4653  
*Kimberly M. Martineau, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:06-4654  
*Sandra Steinmetz, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:06-4655  
*John Gino Giovannoni v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:06-4960  
*Sally J. Dowden, et al., C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:07-89  
*Amelia M. Alfaro, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:07-90  
*Jennifer L. Alfano, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:07-251  
*Claudia Alicia Martinez, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:07-542  
*Jennifer N. Mcinnis v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:07-544  
*Beth Shaw, et al v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:07-595  
*Shannon Davis v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:07-1119  
*Esther Nevarez, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 0:07-1563

Eastern District of Missouri

*Heather Lee Markle, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 4:07-428

Northern District of Ohio

*Julie Gallagher, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 5:07-846

000506

MDL-1849 (Continued)

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007 Page 8 of 28

Western District of Oklahoma

*Timothy J. Bumgarner, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 5:07-278

District of Oregon

*Allison M. Cassie, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 3:07-333

District of South Carolina

*Rodney Brewer, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 3:06-3595

District of South Dakota

*Angela K. Smoot v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 4:07-4038

Eastern District of Tennessee

*Carolyn Baker Hall, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 3:07-46

Eastern District of Texas

*Aaron Smith, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 4:07-1

Northern District of Texas

*Stephanie Smith, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 3:07-409

Western District of Texas

*Adelita Dickson, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 5:07-186

Eastern District of Wisconsin

*Kelly K. Hoell v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, C.A. No. 2:07-267

000507



MDL-1850 -- In re Pet Food Products Liability Litigation

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007

Page 9 of 28

Motion of plaintiff Shirley Sexton for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Central District of California; motion of plaintiff Christina Troiano for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida; and motion of plaintiffs Tom Whaley; Stacey Heller, et al.; Audrey Kornelius, et al.; Suzanne E. Johnson, et al.; and Michele Suggett, et al., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington:

Western District of Arkansas

*Charles Ray Sims, et al. v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al.*, C.A. No. 5:07-5053  
*Richard Scott Widen, et al. v. Menu Foods, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 5:07-5055

Central District of California

*Shirley Sexton v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al.*, C.A. No. 2:07-1958

District of Connecticut

*Lauri A. Osborne v. Menu Foods, Inc.*, C.A. No. 3:07-469

Southern District of Florida

*Christina Troiano v. Menu Foods, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 0:07-60428

Northern District of Illinois

*Dawn Majerczyk v. Menu Foods, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:07-1543

District of New Jersey

*Jared Workman, et al. v. Menu Foods Ltd., et al.*, C.A. No. 1:07-1338

Eastern District of Tennessee

*Lizajean Holt v. Menu Foods, Inc.*, C.A. No. 3:07-94

000508

MDL-1850 (Continued)

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007 Page 10 of 2

Western District of Washington

*Tom Whaley v. Menu Foods, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:07-411  
*Stacey Heller, et al. v. Menu Foods*, C.A. No. 2:07-453  
*Audrey Kornelius, et al. v. Menu Foods*, C.A. No. 2:07-454  
*Suzanne E. Johnson, et al. v. Menu Foods*, C.A. No. 2:07-455  
*Michele Suggett, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al.*, C.A. No. 2:07-457

**SECTION B**  
**MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT**

MDL-875 -- In re Asbestos Products Liability Litigation (No. VI)

Oppositions of plaintiffs and defendant Rowan Companies, Inc., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

Western District of Arkansas

*Eddie Joe Wooten, et al. v. CertainTeed Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:07-2004

Northern District of California

*Carla Groce, et al. v. Todd Shipyards Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:07-241  
*Geraldine Burton, et al. v. A.W. Chesterton Co., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:07-702

Southern District of Illinois

*Jack Franklin v. CSX Transportation, Inc.*, C.A. No. 3:06-1058

Eastern District of Louisiana

*Melvin Raymond v. Borden, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:06-11140

Middle District of Louisiana

*Teressa Bell, etc. v. Rowan Companies, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:06-785  
*Aurelie Breau Waguespack, et al. v. Anco Insulations, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:06-965

Western District of Louisiana

*Daniel Jarrell v. Franks Petroleum, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 6:06-2190

MDL-875 (Continued)

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007 Page 12 of 2

District of Maryland

*Linda Hudson, et al. v. Rapid-American Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 1:06-3319

Southern District of New York

*Talbot P. Frawley, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.*, C.A. No. 1:06-15395

MDL-1335 -- In re Tyco International, Ltd., Securities, Derivative & "ERISA" Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Scott Davis, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire:

Northern District of Illinois

*Scott Davis, et al. v. Dennis Kozlowski, et al.*, C.A. No. 1:07-227

MDL-1373 -- In re Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., Tires Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Leonie Moise, etc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana:

Middle District of Florida

*Leonie Moise, etc. v. Bridgestone/Firestone North American Tire, LLC*,  
C.A. No. 2:06-675

000511

MDL-1373 (Continued)

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007 Page 13 of 2

Oppositions of defendants Bridgestone Firestone North American Tire, LLC and Ford Motor Company to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the following action to the United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas:

Southern District of Indiana

*Susan Janssen, etc. v. Bridgestone/Firestone North American Tire, LLC, et al.*,  
C.A. No. 1:04-5811 (W.D. Arkansas, C.A. No. 2:04-2164)

MDL-1409 -- In re Currency Conversion Fee Antitrust Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Kyle Sandera to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Northern District of California

*Kyle Sandera v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:07-34

MDL-1456 -- In re Pharmaceutical Industry Average Wholesale Price Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff The State of Idaho to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

District of Idaho

*State of Idaho v. Abbott Laboratories*, C.A. No. 1:07-93

000512

MDL-1566 -- In re Western States Wholesale Natural Gas Antitrust Litigation

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007

Page 14 of 2

Motion of defendant Reliant Energy Services, Inc., to transfer the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada:

Western District of Wisconsin

*Arandell Corp., et al. v. Xcel Energy, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:07-76

MDL-1596 -- In re Zyprexa Products Liability Litigation

Motions of defendant Eli Lilly & Company to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

District of South Carolina

*Samuel Davis v. Eli Lilly & Co.*, C.A. No. 3:06-2312

*Kimberly J. Johnson, et al. v. Ricardo Jose Fermo, M.D., et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-2994

MDL-1604 -- In re Ocwen Federal Bank FSB Mortgage Servicing Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Mary Brown; Linda Fleshman; David Waters, Sr., et al.; Donald Moden, et al.; Annette Miranda; and Stanley C. Beardslee, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Eastern District of Texas

*Mary Brown v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, et al.*, C.A. No. 1:07-92

Northern District of Texas

*Linda Fleshman v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:07-108

Western District of Texas

*David Waters, Sr., et al. v. Ocwen Financial Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 1:07-61

*Donald Moden, et al. v. Ocwen Financial Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 1:07-62

MDL-1604 (Continued)

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007 Page 15 of 2

Western District of Texas (Continued)

*Annette Miranda v. Ocwen Financial Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 5:07-34

*Stanley C. Beardslee, et al. v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, et al.*, C.A. No. 5:07-137

MDL-1626 -- In re Accutane Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of defendant Hoffman-La Roche, Inc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida:

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

*Darrell W. Borum, Jr. v. Hoffmann-La Roche, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:07-94

MDL-1657 -- In re Vioxx Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana:

Central District of California

*Ruby Lois Moore Estate, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc.*, C.A. No. 2:06-7548

Eastern District of California

*Mosetta Bernstine v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:07-34

*Katherine Harrison v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:07-42

*James Daniels, Jr. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:07-48

*Barbara Ford-Daniels v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:07-51

*Lynn Franklin v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:07-57

*Irma Franklin v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:07-58

*Carolyn Lee Wilson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:07-61

*Mary Ann Harris v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:07-67

*John Wilson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:07-68

000514

MDL-1657 (Continued)

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007 Page 16 of 2

Eastern District of California (Continued)

*Ishmael Haqq v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:07-73  
*David Tenn, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:07-75  
*Estate of Juanita Battle v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:07-77  
*Denise Denison v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:07-79

Northern District of California

*Dorothy Shanks v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:07-65  
*Stanford Johnson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:07-67  
*Estate of Robert Badke, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:07-69  
*Fred Hardin v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:07-75  
*Jeffrey Nielsen v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:07-76  
*Juliana Nielsen v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:07-77  
*Jacquelyn Johnson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:07-78  
*Arthur Shanks v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 4:07-68  
*Earnestine Hardin v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 4:07-70  
*Shahla Jaferian v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 5:07-66  
*Estate of Sandra Ellis v. Merck & Co., Inc.*, C.A. No. 5:07-74  
*Nader Jaferian v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 5:07-79  
*Randolph Dossett v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 5:07-80  
*Suzanne Dante v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 5:07-81

Southern District of California

*Genevieve Tadman v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:06-2151  
*Del Rorer v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:07-10  
*Carol Krepp v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:07-11  
*Charles Krepp v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:07-12  
*Teresa Rorer v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:07-14  
*Arlene Purvis v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:07-15

Northern District of West Virginia

*Helen Jean Anderson, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 5:06-151

000515



MDL-1657 (Continued)

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007 Page 17 of 2

Southern District of West Virginia

*Paul Noe, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:06-1004  
*Leota Faye Dickens v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:06-1005  
*Madonna Armentrout, etc. v. Rite Aid of West Virginia, Inc.*, C.A. No. 3:06-1058

MDL-1657 -- In re Vioxx Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation  
MDL-1699 -- In re Bextra and Celebrex Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability  
Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana in MDL-1657 and to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in MDL-1699:

Northern District of Alabama

*William D. McCluskey, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:07-232

Eastern District of California

*Carol Ann Davies, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:06-2768  
*Lois Hornsby, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:06-2776  
*Bertha Townsend, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:06-2780  
*Arthur Bluett, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:06-2787  
*Lisa Mathews, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:06-2807  
*Geneva Styles, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:06-2812  
*Ali Muhilddine, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:06-2814  
*Elizabeth A. Cochran v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:06-2817  
*Kevin L. Chaney v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:06-2826  
*Wendel Vantine, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:06-2851  
*Barry Dohner, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:06-2853  
*Jerry Strange v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:06-2875

Southern District of Illinois

*Robert J. Smith, Jr., etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:06-964  
*Wilburn Williamson, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:06-1023

000516

MDL-1700 -- In re FedEx Ground Package System, Inc., Employment Practices Litigation

(No. II) [Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR](#) Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007

Page 18 of 2

Opposition of plaintiff Carlos Quintin Gonzalez to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana:

Southern District of Florida

*Carlos Quintin Gonzalez v. FedEx Home Delivery, et al.*, C.A. No. 1:06-22964

MDL-1708 -- In re Guidant Corp. Implantable Defibrillators Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Mary Alice Miller, etc., and Estefana Silva, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

Middle District of Florida

*Mary Alice Miller, etc. v. Guidant Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:07-41

Southern District of Texas

*Estefana Silva, et al. v. Guidant Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 7:07-2

MDL-1715 -- In re Ameriquest Mortgage Co. Mortgage Lending Practices Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Rodney S. Means and David L. Murphy, et al., and defendants Dream House Mortgage Corp., Northwest Title & Escrow Corp., and Litton Loan Servicing LP to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Northern District of Indiana

*Rodney S. Means v. Ameriquest Mortgage Co., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:06-409

District of Massachusetts

*Kelly Ann I. Graham, et al. v. Ameriquest Mortgage Co., et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-40195

000517

MDL-1715 (Continued)

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007 Page 19 of 2

Eastern District of Missouri

*Paul W. Derda, et al. v. Ameriquest Mortgage Co., et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-1649

Eastern District of Texas

*David L. Murphy, et al. v. Argent Mortgage Co., LLC*, C.A. No. 1:06-781

MDL-1718 -- In re Ford Motor Co. Speed Control Deactivation Switch Products Liability  
Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Florida Farm Bureau Casualty Insurance Company, etc.; David Giorgini, et al.; and Oscar Reyes, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan:

Middle District of Florida

*Florida Farm Bureau Casualty Insurance Co., etc. v. Ford Motor Co., Inc.*,  
C.A. No. 8:06-2307

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

*David Giorgini, et al. v. Ford Motor Co.*, C.A. No. 2:06-968

Southern District of Texas

*Oscar Reyes, et al. v. Ford Motor Co., et al.*, C.A. No. 4:07-165

MDL-1726 -- In re Medtronic, Inc., Implantable Defibrillators Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiff Patricia Kavalir and defendant Medtronic, Inc., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

Middle District of Florida

*Hazel E. Ricciotti, et al. v. Medtronic, Inc.*, C.A. No. 8:07-233

Northern District of Georgia

*Claudeena Watt-Austin, et al. v. Boston Scientific Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 1:07-293

Northern District of Illinois

*Patricia Kavalir v. Medtronic, Inc.*, C.A. No. 1:07-835

MDL-1742 -- In re Ortho Evra Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Jacci Morrison, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio:

Northern District of California

*Jacci Morrison, et al. v. Ortho-McNeil Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:07-8

MDL-1760 -- In re Aredia and Zometa Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Joan LeCompte, et al., and Beatrice Rios to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee:

District of New Jersey

*Joan LeCompte, et al. v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp.*, C.A. No. 2:07-357

Southern District of Texas

*Beatrice Rios v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 6:07-2

MDL-1763 -- In re Human Tissue Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of Plaintiff Sherryl Jean Thornton to transfer of the following action to the  
United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Northern District of Alabama

*Sherryl Jean Thornton v. Michael Mastromarino, et al.*, C.A. No. 1:06-4899

MDL-1781 -- In re Cintas Corp. Overtime Pay Arbitration Litigation

Opposition of respondents in all actions to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the  
following actions to their respective transferor courts:

Northern District of California

- Cintas Corp. v. Randall M. Cornelius, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5078 (M.D. Alabama,  
C.A. No. 2:06-227)
- Cintas Corp. v. Darren Mitchell Anderson, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5079 (N.D. Alabama,  
C.A. No. 2:06-492)
- Cintas Corp. v. Ramon J. Baudier, Jr., et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5080 (S.D. Alabama,  
C.A. No. 1:06-148)
- Cintas Corp. v. Robert J. Abel, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5081 (D. Arizona,  
C.A. No. 2:06-693)
- Cintas Corp. v. Roberto Carlos Alegria, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5082 (C.D. California,  
C.A. No. 2:06-1750)
- Cintas Corp. v. Ronald Arvizu, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5083 (E.D. California,  
C.A. No. 2:06-611)
- Cintas Corp. v. Daniel E. Ainsworth, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5084 (S.D. California,  
C.A. No. 3:06-632)
- Cintas Corp. v. John D. Bickham, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5085 (D. Colorado,  
C.A. No. 1:06-427)
- Cintas Corp. v. Eugene Christensen, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5086 (D. Connecticut,  
C.A. No. 3:06-360)
- Cintas Corp. v. Charles Leroy Gray, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5087 (D. Delaware,  
C.A. No. 1:06-162)
- Cintas Corp. v. Alice Allen, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5088 (M.D. Florida, C.A. No. 8:06-400)
- Cintas Corp. v. Joseph Frazier, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5089 (N.D. Florida,  
C.A. No. 3:06-103)

MDL-1781 (Continued)

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007 Page 22 of 2

Northern District of California (Continued)

- Cintas Corp. v. David J. Abrahamsen, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5090 (S.D. Florida, C.A. No. 0:06-60310)
- Cintas Corp. v. Matthew J. DeFelix, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5091 (M.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 1:06-38)
- Cintas Corp. v. Jeffrey Aybar, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5092 (N.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 1:06-569)
- Cintas Corp. v. Joe L. Banks, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5093 (S.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 1:06-35)
- Cintas Corp. v. David DeBilzan, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5094 (D. Idaho, C.A. No. 1:06-104)
- Cintas Corp. v. James Allen Burress, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5095 (C.D. Illinois, C.A. No. 1:06-1068)
- Cintas Corp. v. Vince Agozzino, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5096 (N.D. Illinois, C.A. No. 1:06-1343)
- Cintas Corp. v. James Atkins, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5097 (N.D. Indiana, C.A. No. 2:06-85)
- Cintas Corp. v. Ryan Albright, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5098 (S.D. Indiana, C.A. No. 1:06-401)
- Cintas Corp. v. Donald Allen Griffin, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5099 (S.D. Iowa, C.A. No. 4:06-91)
- Cintas Corp. v. Matthew L. Blackman, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5100 (D. Kansas, C.A. No. 2:06-2091)
- Cintas Corp. v. Danny L. Brown, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5101 (E.D. Kentucky, C.A. No. 2:06-52)
- Cintas Corp. v. Jason Agostini, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5102 (W.D. Kentucky, C.A. No. 3:06-131)
- Cintas Corp. v. Jack Addison, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5103 (E.D. Louisiana, C.A. No. 2:06-1247)
- Cintas Corp. v. Gustave Fontenot, Jr., et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5104 (M.D. Louisiana, C.A. No. 3:06-188)
- Cintas Corp. v. Ivan Edward Avery, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5105 (W.D. Louisiana, C.A. No. 6:06-391)
- Cintas Corp. v. Randall Bowles, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5106 (D. Maine, C.A. No. 2:06-55)

000521

MDL-1781 (Continued)

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007 Page 23 of 2

Northern District of California (Continued)

- Cintas Corp. v. Joe Andrews, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5107 (D. Maryland,  
C.A. No. 8:06-641)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Philip Daniel Blaisdell, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5108 (D. Massachusetts,  
C.A. No. 1:06-10442)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Brandon Alioto, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5109 (E.D. Michigan,  
C.A. No. 2:06-11043)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Travis M. Ault, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5110 (W.D. Michigan,  
C.A. No. 1:06-180)  
*Cintas Corp. v. John Callahan, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5111 (D. Minnesota,  
C.A. No. 0:06-1012)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Gregory Cole Bigbee, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5112 (S.D. Mississippi,  
C.A. No. 3:06-137)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Relton Barnes, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5113 (E.D. Missouri,  
C.A. No. 4:06-450)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Randall Adams, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5114 (W.D. Missouri,  
C.A. No. 4:06-208)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Jeffrey Anderson, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5115 (D. Nebraska,  
C.A. No. 8:06-262)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Anthony Dean Hamby, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5116 (D. Nevada,  
C.A. No. 2:06-300)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Joseph Allen, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5117 (D. New Jersey,  
C.A. No. 2:06-1164)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Tony L. Bostick, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5119 (D. New Mexico,  
C.A. No. 1:06-185)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Troy Amott, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5120 (E.D. New York,  
C.A. No. 1:06-1105)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Hugh J. Kingsley, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5121 (N.D. New York,  
C.A. No. 5:06-311)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Louis Alves, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5122 (S.D. New York,  
C.A. No. 1:06-1933)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Robert F. Bowles, Jr., et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5123 (W.D. New York,  
C.A. No. 6:06-6147)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Matthew Anderson, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5124 (E.D. North Carolina,  
C.A. No. 5:06-113)

000522

MDL-1781 (Continued)

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007 Page 24 of 2

Northern District of California (Continued)

- Cintas Corp. v. Gus Aranegui, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5126 (M.D. North Carolina, C.A. No. 1:06-225)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Jonathan Allred, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5127 (W.D. North Carolina, C.A. No. 3:06-114)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Bradley Agler, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5128 (N.D. Ohio, C.A. No. 3:06-7083)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Donald Adkins, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5129 (S.D. Ohio, C.A. No. 1:06-126)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Robert Hall, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5130 (E.D. Oklahoma, C.A. No. 6:06-97)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Brent Berna, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5131 (N.D. Oklahoma, C.A. No. 4:06-148)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Raymond Mac Harris, Jr., et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5132 (W.D. Oklahoma, C.A. No. 5:06-247)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Dennis Bassett, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5133 (D. Oregon, C.A. No. 6:06-335)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Kenneth W. Baptist, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5134 (E.D. Pennsylvania, C.A. No. 2:06-1053)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Brian Ash, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5135 (M.D. Pennsylvania, C.A. No. 3:06-517)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Christopher Derenzo, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5136 (W.D. Pennsylvania, C.A. No. 2:06-324)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Joseph E. Edwards, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5137 (D. Rhode Island, C.A. No. 1:06-112)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Thomas Eugene Alert, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5138 (D. South Carolina, C.A. No. 3:06-762)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Stephen Barlow, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5139 (E.D. Texas, C.A. No. 1:06-137)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Bryan Armstrong, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5140 (N.D. Texas, C.A. No. 3:06-432)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Judd Allen, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5141 (S.D. Texas, C.A. No. 4:06-824)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Issac Anaya, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5142 (W.D. Texas, C.A. No. 5:06-216)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Wade Bell, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5143 (D. Utah, C.A. No. 2:06-205)

000523



MDL-1781 (Continued)

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007 Page 25 of 2

Northern District of California (Continued)

- Cintas Corp. v. John O. Ansink, Jr., et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5144 (E.D. Virginia,  
C.A. No. 1:06-267)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Nelson Carter, Jr.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5145 (W.D. Virginia,  
C.A. No. 5:06-23)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Scott Burgess, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5146 (E.D. Washington,  
C.A. No. 2:06-3023)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Michael Anderson, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5147 (W.D. Washington,  
C.A. No. 2:06-332)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Nathan J. Andree, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5148 (E.D. Wisconsin,  
C.A. No. 2:06-303)  
*Cintas Corp. v. Chris Brown, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5149 (W.D. Wisconsin,  
C.A. No. 3:06-133)

MDL-1789 -- In re Fosamax Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Mary Ellen Potgieter to transfer of the following action to the  
United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

District of New Jersey

*Mary Ellen Potgieter v. Merck & Co., Inc.*, C.A. No. 2:07-2

000524

PROCEDURES FOR ORAL ARGUMENT BEFORE THE  
JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

All oral argument is governed by the provisions of Rule 16.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (effective April 2, 2001). Rule 16.1(g) allows a maximum of twenty minutes for oral argument in each matter. In most cases, however, less time is necessary for the expression of all views and the Panel reserves the prerogative of reducing the time requested by counsel. Accordingly, counsel should be careful not to overstate the time requested for oral argument.

The Panel insists that counsel limit all oral argument to the appropriate criteria. See generally In re. "East of the Rockies" Concrete Pipe Antitrust Cases, 302 F. Supp. 244, 255-56 (J.P.M.L. 1969) (concurring opinion) (discussion concerning criteria for transfer).

Rule 16.1 is duplicated in its entirety hereafter for your convenience.

RULE 16.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

(a) Hearing sessions of the Panel for the presentation of oral argument and consideration of matters taken under submission without oral argument shall be held as ordered by the Panel. The Panel shall convene whenever and wherever desirable or necessary in the judgment of the Chairman. The Chairman shall determine which matters shall be considered at each hearing session and the Clerk of the Panel shall give notice to counsel for all parties involved in the litigation to be so considered of the time, place and subject matter of such hearing session.

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007

Page 27 of 2

(b) Each party filing a motion or a response to a motion or order of the Panel under Rules 7.2, 7.3, 7.4 or 7.6 of these Rules may file simultaneously therewith a separate statement limited to one page setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned "Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard," and shall be filed and served in conformity with Rules 5.12 and 5.2 of these Rules.

(c) No transfer or remand determination regarding any action pending in the district court shall be made by the Panel when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand unless a hearing session has been held for the presentation of oral argument except that the Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:

- (i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
- (ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented in the briefs and record, and the decisional process would not be significantly aided by oral argument.

Unless otherwise ordered by the Panel, all other matters before the Panel, such as a motion for reconsideration, shall be considered and determined upon the basis of the papers filed.

(d) In those matters in which oral argument is not scheduled by the Panel, counsel shall be promptly advised. If oral argument is scheduled in a matter the Clerk of the Panel may require counsel for all parties who wish to make or to waive oral argument to file and serve notice to that effect within a stated time in conformity with Rules 5.12 and 5.2 of these Rules. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument by that party. If oral argument is scheduled but not attended by a party, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party's position shall be treated as submitted for decision by the Panel on the basis of the papers filed.

(e) Except for leave of the Panel on a showing of good cause, only those parties to actions scheduled for oral argument who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to appear before the Panel and present oral argument.

(f) Counsel for those supporting transfer or remand under Section 1407 and counsel for those opposing such transfer or remand are to confer separately prior to the oral argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication.

(g) Unless otherwise ordered by the Panel, a maximum of twenty minutes shall be allotted for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided equally among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.

000526

(h) So far as practicable and consistent with the purposes of Section 1407, the offering of oral testimony before the Panel shall be avoided. Accordingly, oral testimony shall not be received except upon notice, motion and order of the Panel expressly providing for it.

(i) After an action or group of actions has been set for a hearing session, consideration of such action(s) may be continued only by order of the Panel on good cause shown.

Case 3:07-cv-00706-BTM-POR Document 6 Filed 04/23/2007

Page 28 of 2

000527