1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	TDY HOLDINGS, LLC and TDY) INDUSTRIES, INC.,	Civil No. 07cv0787 JAH(POR)
11		ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS'
12	Plaintiffs,)	EX PARTE REQUEST FOR LEAVE
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,	ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY
14	Defendants.	[DOC. # 149]
15		
16	Plaintiffs and counter-defendants TDY Holdings LLC and TDY Industries, Inc.	
17	("TDY") have filed an <i>ex parte</i> request seeking leave to file a notice of additional authority.	
18	See Doc. # 149. Specifically, TDY seeks to submit additional briefing concerning a newly	
19	filed case entitled <u>United States v. Saporito</u> , F.Supp.2d, No. 07C 3169, 2010 WL	
20	489703 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 9, 2010). Doc. # 149 at 1. TDY wishes to submit such briefing	
21	in an effort to supplement the prior briefing submitted in support of TDY's motion for	
22	summary judgment currently under submission with this Court. <u>Id.</u> at 1-2.	
23	The government opposes TDY's request. See Doc. # 150. According to the	
24	government, the newly filed case referenced by TDY is distinguishable from this case such	
25	that the additional authority would provide nothing further in support of TDY's motion.	
26	<u>Id.</u> at 2-3. In any event, the government posits that "adequate 'notice'" of the existence	
27	of Saporito has already been given through the filing of the instant request, thus rendering	
28	TDY's request unnecessary. <u>Id.</u>	

This Court agrees with the government. Since the Court is now aware of the existence of this case, it can determine for itself the relevance and applicability of the case to the issues and arguments presented in TDY's motion for summary judgment, without adding further briefing to the massive amount of information presently before this Court. Accordingly, TDY's *ex parte* request for leave to file notice of additional authority is **DENIED**.

DATED: March 19, 2010

OHN A. HOUSTON
United States District Judge

07cv0787 JAH