| Doc. 1 | | | | ic Bishop of San Diego | |
|----------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------|------------------------------|----|
| | Page 1 of 2 | Filed 08/21/2007 | ument 14 | 3:07-cv-01355-IEG-LSP | 0 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | 2 |
| | | | | | 3 |
| | | | | | 4 |
| | | | | | 5 |
| | | | | | 6 |
| | | | | | 7 |
| ISTRICT COURT T OF CALIFORNIA | | | TATES DI | UNIT | 8 |
| | | | 9 SOUTHERN DISTRIC | | |
| | | | | | 10 |
| | ev1355-IEG(RBB) | | | re, | 11 |
| | se No. 07-00989-LA11 e No. 07-90078 | Adversary Case | OF | E ROMAN CATHOLIC BI | 12 |
| | | | OI [*] | N DIEGO, a corporation sol | 13 |
| | ng August 20, 2007 Motion to Withdraw | Order Clarifyin Order Denying the Reference | D.L. | | 14 |
| | Wotion to withdraw | | Debtor. | | 15 |
| | | | TRICK | COB OLIVAS, an individua | 16 |
| | | | 01 - : (: | IVAS, an individual, | 17 |
| | | | Plaintiffs, | | 18 |
| | | | TION | OCESE OF SAN DIEGO EI | 19 |
| | | | | ID WELFARE CORPORAT | 20 |
| | | | fendants. | | 21 |
| | | | | | 22 |
| | Evidence of public record makes it apparent the Debtor has misconstrued the Court's | | | | |
| | August 20, 2007 Order. See Sandi Dolbee and Mark Sauer, U.S. Judge Won't Intervene in | | | | |
| mey | Diocese Case, SAN DIEGO UNION TRIBUNE, August 21, 2007, at B8 (statement by Diocese attorney Michael Webb that bankruptcy court is blocked from considering remand motions). The Court hereby clarifies that its August 20, 2007 order denied without prejudice the Debtor's motion to | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| g in | remand set for hearing | ll as the motions to | y actions, as | draw the reference of the ad | 28 |
| v1355 | 07.02 | | - 1 - | | |
| | 5704 | | - | | |

those cases. The Court intends, at the time of the November 26, 2007 hearing, to allow the Debtor
to renew its motion to withdraw the reference. However, in the interim, jurisdiction remains in the
bankruptcy court to take any and all actions necessary for administration of the bankruptcy
proceedings, including but not limited to ruling on the pending motions for remand. Nothing in
this order or in the Court's August 20, 2007 order shall be construed as an indication that the
bankruptcy court lacks jurisdiction to rule on any matter.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 21, 2007

IRMA E. GONZALEZ, Chief Jydge United States District Court